Universal enhancement of the optical readout fidelity of single electron spins at nitrogen-vacancy centers in diamond
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Precise readout of spin states is crucial for any approach toward physical realization of a spin-based quantum computer and for magnetometry with single spins. Here, we report a method to strongly improve the optical readout fidelity of electron spin states associated with single nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers in diamond. The signal-to-noise ratio is enhanced significantly by performing conditional flip-flop processes between the electron spin and the nuclear spin of the NV center’s nitrogen atom. The enhanced readout procedure is triggered by a short preparatory pulse sequence. As the nitrogen nuclear spin is intrinsically present in the system, this method is universally applicable to any nitrogen-vacancy center. Besides the readout method, our studies included coherent control over a single nitrogen nuclear spin for the first time.
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The negatively charged nitrogen-vacancy (NV) center in diamond exhibits numerous outstanding properties that make it a promising candidate for applications in quantum and imaging science. Impressive experiments have demonstrated its potential as a solid-state qubit at room temperature,1–3 for nanoscale magnetometry,4–6 and for probing spin dynamics at nanoscale.7,8 The coherence times of its electron spin are the longest reported for any solid-state system at room temperature.9,10 The use of nearby single nuclear spins as additional resource for quantum information11 allows a variety of applications, from conditional quantum gates12 and storage of quantum information to realization of small quantum registers and multipartite entanglement.13,14 In all these experiments, the quantum information stored in the spin system is read out optically by recording spin-state dependent fluorescence rates of the NV center.15,16 Even single nuclear spin qubits can be read out via the NV center by coherent mapping of the nuclear spin state onto the electron spin.12 Therefore, the overall readout fidelity of any application is limited by the signal-to-noise ratio of the optical readout process of the NV center. Very recently, significant fidelity enhancement was achieved by mapping the electron spin state onto 13C nuclear spins and repetitive readout.17

In this article, we report a universal method to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio of the optical readout process of NV center spins. To this end, we exploit the spin dynamics of the intrinsic nitrogen nuclear spin that rely on a level-anticrossing (LAC) in the NV center’s excited state18–20 to obtain a threefold enhancement in signal per readout step. This speeds up the data acquisition process by a factor of 3 and corresponds to an increase in the signal-to-noise ratio by a factor of $\sqrt{3}$. As the method exclusively utilizes interactions with the intrinsic nitrogen nucleus, its feasibility does not depend on the presence of further ancilla spins.

The NV center consists of a substitutional nitrogen atom and an adjacent vacancy [see Fig. 1(b)]. Optical excitation of the transition between electronic ground and excited state gives rise to strong fluorescence, which enables optical detection of individual NV centers by standard confocal microscopy techniques.22,23 Ground and excited states are electron spin triplets [Fig. 1(a)]. If the nitrogen atom is a 15N isotope (99.6% abundance, $I=1$), each electron spin state is further split into three hyperfine substates [Fig. 1(c)]. Optical cycles between ground and excited state are spin-conserving,16 however, intersystem crossing (ISC) rates to an intermediate metastable singlet state are strongly spin-dependent. As the system cannot undergo optical cycles while being trapped in the singlet state ($\tau \approx 250$ ns),16 it remains dark during this time. Hence, the average fluorescence intensity depends on the spin-state. ISC occurs mainly from the $m_s=\pm 1$ (1 1) levels which therefore constitute “dark states,” whereas the $m_s=0$ (0) level constitutes a “bright state” with a higher average fluorescence intensity. ISC from the singlet state back to the ground state preferentially ends up in |0>, leading to a strong polarization of the electron spin under optical excitation.24

The ground state spin triplet represents the logic qubit or the magnetic sensor. It is initialized to |0> by a nonresonant laser pulse (532 nm). The operating transition used in this article is the transition between |0> and |−1> [see Fig. 2(a)]. Unitary qubit control or magnetic sensing is performed by common microwave pulse techniques under dark conditions. Therefore, a microwave source is connected to a thin copper wire spanned over the diamond surface. The output of the operation encoded in the spin state is read out optically by application of a readout laser pulse and detection of the fluorescence response. Figure 2(a) shows the accumulated number of response photons per ns $n_{|0|(|−1|)}(t)$ upon a readout laser pulse for the observed NV center initially being in spin state $|0|(|−1|)$. If the initial spin state is |0>, the pulse shows a high initial fluorescence level which decays toward a steady-state value with nonzero population in the singlet state due to a small probability for ISC from |0>. For |−1>, the initial fluorescence decays fast toward a low level due to a high ISC rate to the singlet state. As the singlet state always decays to spin state |0> in the ground state,16 the low fluorescence level decays to the steady-state value within the lifetime of the singlet state of about 250 ns.

The signal used to discriminate the spin states is the difference in the number of photons collected during the readout laser pulse [see gray area in Fig. 2(a)].

Note that for spin state |−1>, the system passes once
through the singlet state before being polarized. After polarization, all information about the initial spin state is destroyed and the system is in its steady state $|0\rangle$. Hence, the signal per readout pulse is limited by the optical polarization rate of the electron spin, which is given by the lifetime of the singlet state ($\tau \approx 250$ ns) and is on the order of 4 MHz. If fluorescence photons could be detected at a higher rate than 4 MHz, single passages through the singlet state could be observed as completely dark intervals in the fluorescence signal. Currently achievable photon count rates ($\approx 300$ kHz at room temperature) result in a signal-to-noise ratio of $\text{SNR} \approx 0.1$ for a single readout cycle and, hence, readout has to be performed by repetitive accumulation of fluorescence signal with a concomitant increase in measurement time.

We now demonstrate a method to decrease the measurement time (increase the number of signal photons per shot) for the spin state of single NV centers by a factor of 3 by exploiting the spin dynamics of the $^{14}$N nitrogen nuclear spin ($I=1$). We make use of a recently discovered nuclear spin polarization mechanism$^{20}$ that is mediated by a level-anticrossing in the excited state.$^{18,19}$ Note that this mechanism has been demonstrated for a $^{15}$N nucleus ($I=1/2$), however, it works analogously for $^{14}$N. Spin states will be denoted by $|m_s,m_I\rangle$ in the following.

At a magnetic field of 500 G ($\mathbf{B} || $NV symmetry axis), the $m_s=0$ and the $m_s=-1$ branch of the NV center electron spin are expected to cross in the excited state [see gray region in Fig. 1(a)]. Due to strong hyperfine coupling between electron and nitrogen nuclear spin in the excited state ($\approx 20$ times stronger than in the ground state), there is LAC between spin states $|0,0\rangle$ and $|-1,+1\rangle$, respectively, $|0,-1\rangle$ and $|-1,0\rangle$, associated with strong spin mixing [see Fig. 2(c)]. This allows energy-conserving flip-flop processes between electron and nuclear spin. These are not possible for spin states $|0,+1\rangle$ and $|-1,-1\rangle$, which, therefore, are not affected by mixing. Under optical illumination, the electron spin is steadily polarized into $|0\rangle$. Thus, the only stable spin state is $|0,+1\rangle$. As a result, optical illumination leads to strong polarization of the system into $|0,+1\rangle$ at $B=500$ G [see spectra in Fig. 2(b)].

If the system is now prepared in spin state $|-1,-1\rangle$ before application of the readout laser pulse, it has to pass three times through the singlet state instead of one in a cascadelike process before reaching the bright steady state $|0,+1\rangle$. As each passage through the singlet state yields the same amount of signal as obtained by conventional readout, the total signal is tripled.

The detailed process occurring upon application of a readout laser pulse is illustrated in Fig. 3(a).
UNIVERSAL ENHANCEMENT OF THE OPTICAL READOUT

FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Illustration of the enhanced readout process. The spin state $|-1,-1\rangle$ is populated by a selective microwave $\pi$ pulse [vertical (blue) arrow] and two consecutive radiofrequency $\pi$ pulses [inclined (orange) arrows]. Due to electron-nuclear spin flip-flops in the excited state, the system must pass through the dark singlet state (arrows with dots) three times before reaching the bright steady state $|0,+1\rangle$. The entire signal [gray + dark gray (blue) areas] is now three times the conventional signal (only gray area). (b) Fluorescence responses for the system initially being in $|0,+1\rangle$ [uppermost (red) trace $n_{|0,+1\rangle}$], $|-1,+1\rangle$ (conventional readout, upper gray trace $n_{|-1,+1\rangle}$) and $|-1,-1\rangle$ [enhanced readout, upper dark gray (dark blue) trace $n_{|-1,-1\rangle}$] and the corresponding differences (lower traces). By enhanced readout, the conventional readout signal (gray area) is increased by a factor of 3 [gray + dark gray (blue) area]).

Starting from spin state $|-1,-1\rangle$, the system once passes through the singlet state as the electron spin is polarized. This process yields the signal [gray area in Fig. 3(a)] obtained equivalently by conventional readout. The passage through the singlet state [gray arrows with dots in Fig. 3(a)] is assumed to conserve the nuclear spin state, hence, the system ends up in $|0,-1\rangle$. The system is now repumped to the excited state by the same readout laser pulse. There it has a certain probability to perform the flip-flop process $|0,-1\rangle \rightarrow |0,1\rangle$ due to the strong mixing between these two spin states [see Fig. 2(c)]. Thus, it has the two possibilities of either performing an optical cycle under emission of a fluorescence photon or performing an electron-nuclear flip-flop process. As optical cycles are spin-conserving, a flip-flop process will finally occur and the system will be in $|0,1\rangle$. From there, it will pass a second time through the singlet state, additionally giving rise to the same amount of signal as before [dark gray (blue) area in Fig. 3(a)]. After the second

FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Electron spin Rabi oscillations recorded by conventional (gray squares) and enhanced readout (dark blue dots). The relative contrast is improved by a factor of 3. (b) Experimentally determined signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the fluorescence responses from Fig. 3(b) for enhanced [dark gray (dark blue)] and conventional readout (gray) as a function of the readout pulse length $t_p$. The maximum SNR for enhanced readout at $B=500$ G is $\sqrt{3}$ times the maximum SNR for conventional readout.

relaxation via ISC, the system will be in state $|0,0\rangle$. It will now again be re-excited, where the spin states $|0,0\rangle$ and $|-1,+1\rangle$ are mixed. As before, the system will inevitably perform a spin flip-flop with subsequent passage through the singlet state, again yielding additional signal. After this third ISC relaxation, the system will be in the bright steady state $|0,+1\rangle$, which yields a constant level of fluorescence intensity.

The fluorescence responses $n_{|m_s,m_I\rangle}(t)$ for conventional ($n_{|0,+1\rangle}$, gray trace) and enhanced readout ($n_{|-1,-1\rangle}$, dark gray (blue) trace) are compared in Fig. 3(b). The lower traces show the difference in fluorescence between bright and dark state for both cases. The colored areas represent the amount of signal photons and show the expected threefold enhancement.

The amount of signal photons saturates with increasing pulse duration while the noise arising from the Poissonian distribution of collected photons (shot noise) grows approximately as the square root of the pulse length. Hence, there is an optimal readout pulse length which maximizes the signal-to-noise ratio. The signal acquired during the initial time interval $[0,t_p]$ of the fluorescence pulses is $N_{|0,+1\rangle}(t_p) - N_{|0,+1\rangle}(t_p)$ [gray area for $m_I=+1$ in Fig. 3(b) and gray + dark gray (blue) area for $m_I=-1$]. $N_{|m_s,m_I\rangle}(t_p)$ is given by $\sum |m_s,m_I\rangle n_{|m_s,m_I\rangle}(t)$. The shot noise is the square root of the total number of collected photons, $\sqrt{\sum |m_s,m_I\rangle n_{|m_s,m_I\rangle}(t)}$. The SNR is

$$SNR(t_p) = \frac{N_{|0,+1\rangle}(t_p) - N_{|0,-1\rangle}(t_p)}{\sqrt{N_{|0,+1\rangle}(t_p) + N_{|0,-1\rangle}(t_p)}}. \tag{1}$$

It has a global maximum at an optimal readout pulse length $t_p$ [see Fig. 4(b)].

For enhanced readout, both the signal and the time required for signal formation are increased by a factor of 3. Thus, the maximum SNR is enhanced by $\sqrt{3}$ [see Fig. 4(b)] and shifted to a longer pulse length. Figure 4(a) shows electron Rabi oscillations recorded with conventional and en-
hanced readout. The experimental results exhibit the behavior predicted by our model.

In practice, enhanced readout is implemented as follows: after execution of a desired pulse sequence on the operating transition $|0,+1\rangle \rightarrow |1,+1\rangle$ [see arrow in Fig. 3(a)], two consecutive resonant radiofrequency $\pi$ pulses on the nuclear spin transitions $|1,+1\rangle \rightarrow |1,0\rangle$ and $|1,0\rangle \rightarrow |1,-1\rangle$ [see tilted (orange) arrows in Fig. 3(a)] are applied right before application of the readout laser pulse. Nuclear spin transitions can be driven selectively up to Rabi frequencies on the order of MHz. Thus, the time required to trigger the enhanced readout is on the order of a few $\mu$s. At $B=500$ G, the optimum pulse length for the enhanced fluorescence response is extended by about 500 ns. Hence, the enhanced readout method already pays off if the length of the complete pulse sequence is on the order of a few $\mu$s, which is fulfilled in most cases.

The most critical parameter of enhanced readout is the angle between magnetic field and the NV axis. The magnetic field can be varied over a wide range ($\pm 200$ G) without losing the polarization effect (see Fig. 2), but the flip-flop probability decreases with distance from the LAC [see inset in Fig. 2(c)] and thus the signal formation process is slowed down, which leads to decrease in the maximum SNR. At 50 G from the LAC, the SNR enhancement is about half the maximum value.

The method has been demonstrated for NV centers containing a $^{14}$N atom ($I=1$), however, it works analogously for $^{15}$N ($I=1/2$), where a SNR enhancement of $\sqrt{2}$ is achievable by a single rf pulse. Note that this is the first demonstration of rf control of a single nitrogen nuclear spin, which proves its suitability as an additional qubit intrinsic to the NV center. Recently, Smeltzer et al. also reported coherent control over a single nitrogen nuclear spin.

Summarizing, we presented a fast universal method to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio of the optical readout process of the NV center in diamond. The measurement time required to determine the spin state of the system is reduced by a factor of 3. This allows for faster room-temperature access to quantum information stored in the individual solid-state spin system and speeds up data acquisition in spin-based magnetometry. Further enhancement can be achieved by including those $^{13}$C nuclear spins that are polarized at magnetic fields corresponding to the excited state LAC.\textsuperscript{20,25}
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APPENDIX A: NV HAMILTONIAN

For the NV center with a $^{14}$N isotope, the spin Hamiltonian for the ground state is

$$H = DS_z^2 + g_s \mu_B B S_z + A S_I^z + Q S_z^2 + g_n \mu_N B I_z,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where the first and second terms express electron spin energies with the zero field splitting $D=2870$ MHz and the Zee-

FIG. 5. (Color online) Excited state electron spin resonance spectrum. It shows the $m_z=0\rightarrow -1$ transition of the electronic excited state of the NV center. The spectrum is fitted with three Lorentzians corresponding to the hyperfine split $^{14}$N nuclear spin sublevels. The hyperfine interaction in the excited state is roughly 20 times larger than in the ground state. The width of the lines originates from the short excited state lifetime of about 10 ns.

man energy with the electron $g$-factor $g_s$ and the Bohr-magneton $\mu_B$. The third term is the hyperfine interaction between NV center’s electron spin and the nuclear spin of its $^{14}$N atom. The nuclear spin is a triplet $I=1$ and the hyperfine interaction leads to a splitting of the electron spin resonance lines of $\approx 2.2$ MHz. For simplicity, we assume an isotropic hyperfine interaction, which is sufficient to explain the spectra in the paper. Finally, the fourth and fifth term describe the energies of the nuclear spin with its quadrupole splitting $Q$ and its nuclear Zeeman energy, where $g_n$ is the $^{14}$N nuclear spin $g$ factor and $\mu_n$ the nuclear magnetic moment. In this Hamiltonian, the magnetic field is assumed parallel to the NV axis ($z$ direction) as it was the case in the performed experiments.

The resulting electron spin energy levels as a function of the magnetic field are displayed in Fig. 1(a) and their nuclear spin sublevels are depicted in Fig. 1(c). The spectrum of electron spin transition between states $|0\rangle$ and $|-1\rangle$ for two magnetic field strengths is shown in Fig. 2(b). In the lower spectrum, the hyperfine splitting is visible. It is absent in the upper spectrum because the nuclear spin is polarized in the state $m_z=+1$.

The excited state Hamiltonian has the same structure as Eq. (2), but with different parameters. The zero field splitting becomes $D_s=1420$ MHz,\textsuperscript{18,19} and the hyperfine splitting is $A_{es}=40$ MHz (see Fig. 5). Again, we assume an isotropic hyperfine interaction. The fact that the polarization mechanism for the nuclear spin mentioned in the paper works well underlines that there must be substantial off-diagonal terms in the hyperfine interaction of the excited state.

In Fig. 2(c) of the main paper, the calculated eigenvalues of the excited state Hamiltonian in the basis $|-1,-1\rangle$, $|-1,0\rangle$, $|-1,+1\rangle$, $|0,-1\rangle$, $|0,0\rangle$, and $|0,+1\rangle$ are drawn as a function of the magnetic field amplitude. The inset shows the probability for a spin flip-flop between electron and nuclear spin as a function of $B$. It has been calculated from the coefficients of the basis states participating in a flip-flop process (see Ref. 20 for details).
At first a magnetic field of 500 G is applied parallel to the NV axis (\(z\) direction) as in the paper. A laser pulse initializes the system into \(|m_S, m_I\rangle = |0, +1\rangle\). A subsequent microwave (mw) \(\pi\) pulse (not necessary for operation in \(|0\rangle\)) converts it into \(|-1(0), +1\rangle\). In a next step, a rf \(\pi\) pulse resonant on transition \(-1(0), +1\rangle \leftrightarrow -1(0), 0\rangle\) yields state \(-1(0), 0\rangle\). Out of this starting state, a rf \(\pi\) pulse with sweeping frequency is applied. Finally, population in \(-1(0), 0\rangle\) is converted back to \(|0, +1\rangle\), which is the only bright state. The population here is read out by a final laser pulse, which is, in fact, the first laser pulse of the next run. This sequence is continuously repeated while the frequency of the sweeping rf pulse is changed and the corresponding fluorescence is recorded. If the frequency hits the resonance for nuclear spin transitions \(-1(0), 0\rangle \leftrightarrow -1(0), +1\rangle\) or \(-1(0), 0\rangle \leftrightarrow -1(0), -1\rangle\), no population ends up in bright state \(|0, +1\rangle\). It is then either in \(|0, 0\rangle\) or in \(|0, -1\rangle\). In this case, the final laser pulse eventually forces the nuclear spin to flip and pass through the metastable state, which gives the signal as explained in the paper.

Note that the electron spin state is already \(m_S=0\) before the readout laser pulse so that it does not have to flip and does not contribute to the signal. This means that the nuclear spin state is read out without mapping it onto the electron spin due to the nuclear spin state selective flip-flop processes in the excited state. Be also aware that the signal for spin state \(|0, -1\rangle\) is twice as high as the one for \(|0, 0\rangle\) because the system has to pass the metastable state twice instead of once.

The resulting nuclear spin spectra are shown in Figs. 6(b) and 6(c). From there, a quadrupole splitting of \(Q = 4.945 \pm 0.01\) MHz can be deduced and the hyperfine splitting is \(A = -2.166 \pm 0.01\) MHz. These values have been obtained for a few centers in different samples and have agreed within the given bounds. Nevertheless, they show small deviation from earlier obtained values.\(^{26}\)

The presented method is universal in the sense that the nitrogen nuclear spin is present in every NV center. For NV centers that have certain \(^{13}\)C nuclear spins in their vicinity, the signal-to-noise ratio can even be further enhanced. For \(n\) participating nuclei with spin \(I_n\), the enhancement is given by \(\text{SNR}_{\text{enh}}/\text{SNR}_{\text{conv}} = \sqrt{1 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} I_n}\). Note that this is true only for nuclear spins that have the same quantization axis as the NV center electron spin and that participate in energy-conserving flip-flop processes mediated by the excited state LAC leading to nuclear spin polarization.\(^{25}\)

---

**APPENDIX B: NUCLEAR SPIN MANIPULATION**

For the enhanced readout technique, the nuclear spin has to be manipulated. Therefore, first of all, the nuclear transition frequencies in the electron spin state \(|0\rangle\) and \(-1\rangle\) have to be obtained. This is done by a combined pulsed and cw technique, which is sketched in Fig. 6(a). The signal gained in this experiment already uses the enhancement technique.

---
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23 All experiments have been carried out in type IIa diamond samples. Photon correlation measurements (photon antibunching) have been used for verification of single NV centers (data not shown).

