Violation of the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality with Matter Waves
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The Cauchy-Schwarz (CS) inequality—one of the most widely used and important inequalities in mathematics—can be formulated as an upper bound to the strength of correlations between classically fluctuating quantities. Quantum-mechanical correlations can, however, exceed classical bounds. Here we realize four-wave mixing of atomic matter waves using colliding Bose-Einstein condensates, and demonstrate the violation of a multimode CS inequality for atom number correlations in opposite zones of the collision halo. The correlated atoms have large spatial separations and therefore open new opportunities for extending fundamental quantum-nonlocality tests to ensembles of massive particles.
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The Cauchy-Schwarz (CS) inequality is ubiquitous in mathematics and physics [1]. Its utility ranges from proofs of basic theorems in linear algebra to the derivation of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. In its basic form, the CS inequality simply states that the absolute value of the inner product of two vectors cannot be larger than the product of their lengths. In probability theory and classical physics, the CS inequality can be applied to fluctuating quantities and states that the expectation value of the cross correlation \( \langle I_1 I_2 \rangle \) between two quantities \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) is bounded from above by the autocorrelations in each quantity,

\[
|\langle I_1 I_2 \rangle| \leq \sqrt{\langle I_1^2 \rangle \langle I_2^2 \rangle}.
\]

This inequality is satisfied, for example, by two classical currents emanating from a common source.

In quantum mechanics, correlations can, however, be stronger than those allowed by the CS inequality [2–4]. Such correlations have been demonstrated in quantum optics using, for example, antibunched photons produced via spontaneous emission [5], or twin photon beams generated in a radiative cascade [6], parametric down conversion [7], and optical four-wave mixing [8]. Here the discrete nature of the light and the strong correlation (or anticorrelation in antibunching) between photons is responsible for the violation of the CS inequality. The violation has even been demonstrated for two light beams detected as continuous variables [8].

In this work we demonstrate a violation of the CS inequality in matter-wave optics using pair-correlated atoms formed in a collision of two Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) of metastable helium [9–12] (see Fig. 1). The CS inequality which we study is a multimode inequality, involving integrated atomic densities, and therefore is different from the typical two-mode situation studied in quantum optics. Our results demonstrate the potential of atom optics experiments to extend the fundamental tests of quantum mechanics to ensembles of massive particles. Indeed, violation of the CS inequality implies the possibility of (but is not equivalent to) formation of quantum states that exhibit the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) correlations or violate a Bell’s inequality [3]. The EPR and Bell-state correlations are of course of wider significance.

FIG. 1 (color online). Diagram of the collision geometry. (a) Two cigar-shaped condensates moving in opposite directions along the axial direction \( z \) shortly after their creation by a Bragg laser pulse (the anisotropy and spatial separation are not to scale). (b) Spherical halo of scattered atoms produced by four-wave mixing after the cloud expands and the atoms fall to the detector 46 cm below. During the flight to the detector, the unscattered condensates acquire a disk shape shown in white on the north and south poles of the halo. The (red) boxes 1 and 2 illustrate a pair of diametrically symmetric counting zones (integration volumes) for the average cross-correlation and autocorrelation functions, \( G_{12}^{(2)} \) and \( G_{ii}^{(2)} \) (\( i = 1, 2 \)) (see text), used in the analysis of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.
to foundational principles of quantum mechanics than those that violate a CS inequality. Nevertheless, the importance of understanding the CS inequality in new physical regimes lies in the fact that: (i) they are the simplest possible tests of stronger-than-classical correlations, and (ii) they can be viewed as precursors, or necessary conditions, for the stricter tests of quantum mechanics.

The atom-atom correlations resulting from the collision and violating the CS inequality are measured after long time-of-flight expansion using time- and position-resolved atom detection techniques unique to metastable atoms [13]. The 307 ms long expansion time combined with a large collision and hence scattering velocity results in a \(\sim 6\) cm spatial separation between the scattered, correlated atoms. This separation is quite large compared to what has been achieved in recent related BEC experiments based on double-well or two-component systems [14–16], trap modulation techniques [17], or spin-changing interactions [18,19]. This makes the BEC collisions ideally suited to quantum-nonlocality tests using ultracold atomic gases and the intrinsic interatomic interactions.

In a simple two-mode quantum problem, described by boson creation and annihilation operators \(\hat{a}_i^\dagger\) and \(\hat{a}_i\) (\(i = 1, 2\)), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality of the form of Eq. (1) can be formulated in terms of the second-order correlation functions,

\[
G^{(2)}_{ij} = \langle \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \rangle = \langle \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_i \rangle, \tag{2}
\]

or simply \(G^{(2)}_{12} \leq G^{(2)}_{11}\) in the symmetric case of \(G^{(2)}_{12} = G^{(2)}_{22}\). Here, \(G^{(2)}_{12} = G^{(2)}_{21}\), \(\hat{n}_i = \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i\) is the particle number operator, and the double colons indicate normal ordering of the creation and annihilation operators, which ensures the correct quantum-mechanical interpretation of the process of detection of pairs of particles that contribute to the measurement of the second-order correlation function [2]. Stronger-than-classical correlation violating this inequality would require \(G^{(2)}_{12} > [G^{(2)}_{11} G^{(2)}_{22}]^{1/2}\), or \(G^{(2)}_{12} > G^{(2)}_{11}\) in the symmetric case.

The situation we analyze here is counterintuitive in that we observe a peak cross correlation (for pairs of atoms scattered in opposite directions) that is smaller than the peak autocorrelation (for pairs of atoms propagating in the same direction). In a simple two-mode model such a ratio of the cross correlation and autocorrelation satisfies the classical CS inequality. However, in order to adequately treat the atom-atom correlations in the BEC collision problem, one must generalize the CS inequality to a multimode situation, which takes into account the fact that the cross correlations and autocorrelations in matter-wave optics are usually functions (in our case of momentum). The various correlation functions can have different widths and peak heights, and one must define an appropriate integration domain over multiple momentum modes to recover an inequality that plays the same role as that in the two-mode case and is actually violated, as we show below.

The experimental setup was described in Refs. [11,12]. Briefly, a cigar-shaped BEC of metastable helium, containing approximately \(\sim 10^5\) trapped initially in a harmonic trapping potential with frequencies (\(\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z\))/2\(\pi\) = (1500, 1500, 7.5) Hz, was split by Bragg diffraction into two parts along the axial (\(z\)) direction [see Fig. 1(a)], with velocities differing by twice the single photon recoil velocity \(v_{rec} = 9.2\) cm/s. Atoms interact via binary, momentum conserving s-wave collisions and scatter onto a nearly spherical halo [see Fig. 1(b)] whose radius in velocity space is about the recoil velocity [11,20]. The scattered atoms fall onto a detector that records the arrival times and positions of individual atoms [13] with a quantum efficiency of \(\sim 10\%\). The halo diameter in position space at the detector is \(\sim 6\) cm. We use the arrival times and positions to reconstruct three-dimensional velocity vectors \(\mathbf{v}\) for each atom. The unscattered BECs locally saturate the detector. To quantify the strength of correlations corresponding only to spontaneously scattered atoms, we exclude from the analysis the data points containing the BECs and their immediate vicinity (\(|v_z| < 0.5 v_{rec}\)) and further restrict ourselves to a spherical shell of radial thickness \(0.9 < v_z/v_{rec} < 1.1\) (where the signal to noise is large enough), defining the total volume of the analyzed region as \(V_{data}\).

Using the atom arrival and position data, we can measure the second-order correlation functions between the atom number densities \(\hat{n}(k)\) at two points in momentum space, \(G^{(2)}(k, k') = \langle \hat{n}(k) \hat{n}(k') \rangle\) (see Supplementary Material [21]), with \(k\) denoting the wave vector \(k = mv/h\) and \(h\) the momentum. The correlation measurements are averaged over a certain counting zone (integration volume \(V\)) on the scattering sphere in order to get statistically significant results. By choosing \(k'\) to be nearly opposite or nearly collinear to \(k\), we can define the averaged back-to-back (BB) or collinear (CL) correlation functions,

\[
G^{(2)}_{BB}(\Delta k) = \int_V d^3k \, G^{(2)}(k, -k + \Delta k), \tag{3}
\]

\[
G^{(2)}_{CL}(\Delta k) = \int_V d^3k \, G^{(2)}(k, k + \Delta k), \tag{4}
\]

which play a role analogous to the cross-correlation and autocorrelation functions, \(G^{(2)}_{12}\) and \(G^{(2)}_{ii}\), in the simple two-mode problem discussed above. The BB and CL correlations are defined as functions of the relative displacement \(\Delta k\), while the dependence on \(k\) is lost due to the averaging.

The normalized BB and CL correlations functions, \(g^{(2)}_{BB}(\Delta k)\) and \(g^{(2)}_{CL}(\Delta k)\), averaged over the unexcised part of the scattering sphere \(V_{data}\) are shown in Fig. 2. The BB correlation peak results from binary, elastic collisions between atoms, whereas the CL correlation peak is a variant...
of the Hanbury Brown and Twiss effect [22,23]—a two-particle interference involving members of two different atom pairs [9,10,24,25]. Both correlation functions are anisotropic because of the anisotropy of the initial colliding condensates.

An important difference with the experiment of Ref. [9] is that the geometry in the present experiment (with vertically elongated condensates) is such that the observed widths of the correlation functions are not limited by the detector resolution. Here we now observe that the BB and CL correlations have very different widths, with the BB width being significantly larger than the CL width. This broadening is largely due to the size of the condensate in the vertical direction (≈ 1 mm). The elongated nature of the cloud and the estimated temperature of ≈200 nK also means that the condensates correspond in fact to quasicondensates [26] whose phase coherence length is smaller than the size of the atomic cloud. The broadening of the BB correlation due to the presence of quasicondensates will be discussed in another paper [27], but we emphasize that the CS inequality analyzed here is insensitive to the detailed broadening mechanism as it relies on integrals over correlation functions. This is one of the key points in considering the multimode CS inequality.

Since the peak of the CL correlation function corresponds to a situation in which the two atoms follow the same path, we can associate it with the autocorrelation of the momentum of the particles on the collision sphere. Similarly, the peak of the BB correlation function corresponds to two atoms following two distinct paths and therefore can be associated with the cross-correlation function between the respective momenta. Hence we realize a situation in which one is tempted to apply the CS inequality to the peak values of these correlation functions. As we see from Fig. 2, if one naively uses only the peak heights, the CS inequality is not violated since \( g_{BB}(0) < g_{CL}(0) \) and hence \( G_{BB}(0) < G_{CL}(0) \) due to the nearly identical normalization factors (see Supplementary Material [21]).

We can, however, construct a CS inequality that is violated if we use integrated correlation functions, \( G_{ij}(\Delta k) \), that correspond to atom numbers \( \tilde{N}_i = \int_{\mathcal{V}_i} d^3k \hat{a}^\dag(k) \hat{a}(k) \) \((i = 1, 2)\) in two distinct zones on the collision halo [21],

\[
G_{ij}^{(2)}(\Delta k) = \langle \tilde{N}_i \tilde{N}_j \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{V}_i} d^3k \int_{\mathcal{V}_j} d^3k' G_{ij}^{(2)}(k, k').
\]

The choice of the two integration (zone) volumes \( \mathcal{V}_i \) and \( \mathcal{V}_j \) determines whether the \( G_{ij}^{(2)} \) function corresponds to the BB \((i \neq j)\) or CL \((i = j)\) correlation functions, Eqs. (3) and (4).

The CS inequality that we can now analyze for violation reads \( G_{12}^{(2)} \leq \left[ G_{11}^{(2)} G_{22}^{(2)} \right]^{1/2} \). To quantify the degree of violation, we introduce a correlation coefficient,

\[
C = G_{12}^{(2)}/\left[ G_{11}^{(2)} G_{22}^{(2)} \right]^{1/2},
\]

which is smaller than unity classically, but can be larger than unity for states with stronger-than-classical correlations.

In Fig. 3 we plot the correlation coefficient \( C \) determined from the data for different integration zones \( \mathcal{V}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{V}_2 \), but always keeping the two volumes equal. When \( \mathcal{V}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{V}_2 \) correspond to diametrically opposed, correlated pairs...
of zones (red circles), \( C \) is greater than unity, violating the CS inequality, while for neighboring, uncorrelated pairs (blue squares) the CS inequality is not violated. The figure also shows the results of a quantum-mechanical calculation of \( C \) using a stochastic Bogoliubov approach (green thick solid curve) [20,21,28]. The calculation is for the initial data points for such zones are shown as red circles, for uncorrelated (neighboring) zones—as blue squares. The error bars show the standard deviation of the mean over the number of zone pairs. The (green) thick solid curve is the theoretical prediction (see Supplementary Material [21]) calculated using the experimental parameters and a stochastic Bogoliubov approach [20,28].

We have shown the violation of the CS inequality using the experimental data of Ref. [11] in which a sub-Poissonian variance in the atom number difference between opposite zones was observed. Although the two effects are linked mathematically in simple cases, they are not equivalent in general [8,21]. Because of the multimode nature of the four-wave mixing process, we observe stronger (weaker) suppression of the variance below the shot-noise level for the larger (smaller) zones (see Fig. 3 of [11]), whereas the degree of violation of the CS inequality follows the opposite trend. This difference can be of importance for other experimental tests of stronger-than-classical correlations in inherently multimode situations in matter-wave optics.

The nonclassical character of the observed correlations implies that the scattered atoms cannot be described by classical stochastic random variables [29]. Our experiment is an important step toward the demonstrations of increasingly restrictive types of nonlocal quantum correlations with matter waves, which we hope will one day culminate in the violation of a Bell inequality as well. In this case, the nonclassical character of correlations will also defy a description via a local hidden variable theory [4,29]. Nonoptical violations of Bell’s inequalities have so far only been demonstrated for pairs of massive particles (such as two trapped ions [30] or proton-proton pairs in the decay of \( \text{He} \) [31]), but never in the multiparticle regime. The BEC collision scheme used here is particularly well-suited for demonstrating a Bell inequality violation [32] using an atom optics analog of the Rarity-Tapster setup [33].
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