Scalable Spatial Superresolution Using Entangled Photons
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N00N states—maximally path-entangled states of N photons—exhibit spatial interference patterns sharper than any classical interference pattern. This is known as superresolution. However, even given perfectly efficient number-resolving detectors, the detection efficiency of all previous measurements of such interference would decrease exponentially with the number of photons in the N00N state, often leading to the conclusion that N00N states are unsuitable for spatial measurements. A technique known as the “optical centroid measurement” has been proposed to solve this and has been experimentally verified for photon pairs; here we present the first extension beyond two photons, measuring the superresolution fringes of two-, three-, and four-photon N00N states. Moreover, we compare the N00N-state interference to the corresponding classical superresolution interference. Although both provide the same increase in spatial frequency, the visibility of the classical fringes decreases exponentially with the number of detected photons. Our work represents an essential step forward for quantum-enhanced measurements, overcoming what was believed to be a fundamental challenge to quantum metrology.
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Many essential techniques in modern science and technology, from precise position sensing to high-resolution imaging to nanolithography, rely on the creation and detection of the finest possible spatial interference fringes using light. Classically, all such measurements face a fundamental barrier related to the “diffraction limit,” which is determined by the wavelength of the light [1], but quantum entanglement can be used to surpass this limit by making the spatial interference fringes sharper (a result referred to as superresolution) [2,3]. In particular, the N-photon entangled “N00N” state can display an N-photon interference pattern N times finer than that of classical light [4,5]. However, N00N states suffer from a weakness that has made their advantage controversial: the probability of detecting all N photons arriving at the same place, and thus the detection efficiency, decreases exponentially with N [6,7]. Here we implement the optical centroid measurement (OCM) proposed by Tsang [8] to overcome this problem. A proof-of-principle experiment confirming the underlying concept of the OCM was recently performed [9], but, being limited to only two photons and two “movable” detectors, it could not probe the scaling properties of the OCM. In our experiment, using an array of 11 fixed detectors, we measure two-, three-, and four-photon spatial fringes, and find that their visibility does not deteriorate with the number of entangled photons. The visibility of an unentangled OCM, on the other hand, decays exponentially. Our results show that, given more efficient detectors, the OCM could potentially be scaled to high photon numbers and perhaps provide a means for practical quantum imaging. Our experiment also represents the highest spatial superresolution to date [10–12].

In 2000, Boto et al. pointed out that entangled states of light offer a way to improve the resolution of interferometers beyond the diffraction limit, which determines the smallest spatial features achievable in classical optical systems [2]. This limit is set by the fringe spacing of the interference pattern created by two beams of wavelength λ meeting at an angle θ, which is $\lambda / (2 \sin(\theta/2))$. Under no conditions can a spacing smaller than $\lambda / 2$ be attained classically. Boto et al. circumvented this classical limit by introducing the entangled N00N state, an equal superposition of all N photons in mode $k_1$ and all N photons in mode $k_2$: $|\psi_{N00N}(N,0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|N,0\rangle_{k_1,k_2} + |0,N\rangle_{k_1,k_2}).$

If $k_1$ and $k_2$ are two spatial modes which interfere at a detection plane [Fig. 1(a)], the probability of detecting all of the photons at a given position will display spatial fringes with a period of $\lambda / (2N \sin(\theta/2))$, where $\theta$ is the angle between $k_1$ and $k_2$ (assuming $|k_1| = |k_2| = (2\pi/\lambda)$). The period of the N00N fringes is N times smaller than that of classical fringes, suggesting that N00N states could be used to increase the resolution of optical systems by a factor of N. This observation has led to much subsequent work on N00N states [4,5,13–16] and their application in tasks such
that the probability for all some small region of size spatial scale of the means that the probability of a given photon landing within \( \lambda \). However, the individual photons in the N00N state cannot be localized to better than \( \lambda/2 \), regardless of the narrow spatial scale of the N-photon correlation fringes. This means that the probability of a given photon landing within some small region of size \( r \) will always be \( \lesssim 2r/\lambda \), and that the probability for all \( N \) photons to arrive at the same region is \( \lesssim (2r/\lambda)^N \). Thus even with perfect detectors the efficiency of such a detection scheme decreases exponentially with \( N \), leading to the conclusion that N00N states are of little practical use for applications requiring spatial interference. The OCM was proposed to address this problem, resurrecting the hope of applying such states to high-resolution position measurements.

The OCM displays \( N \)-fold superresolution without requiring all the photons to arrive at the same point in space. Instead, it keeps track of every \( N \)-photon event, regardless of which combination of detectors fires. By using appropriate post-processing, the OCM nevertheless unveils the \( N \)-photon quantum interference. For simplicity, consider interfering a two-photon N00N state on an array of photon detectors [Fig. 1(a)]. Most of the time, two different detectors fire; occasionally, both photons reach the same detector. The original proposals only retained those rare events in which a single detector registered both photons, observing that this rate exhibited sub-wavelength fringes as a function of detector position. By contrast, the OCM keeps all the events, recording the “centroid,” or the average of the detected positions of the photons; remarkably, sub-wavelength fringes are also observed as a function of this centroid, obviating the need to discard the bulk of the events.

A more visual way to look at the OCM is illustrated in Fig. 1(b), which shows plots of the joint probabilities for photon 1 to arrive at pixel \( x_1 \) and photon 2 to arrive at pixel \( x_2 \) in coincidence. In a two-photon-absorber measurement, an event is only registered if \( x_1 = x_2 \) (both photons arrive at the same point). The resulting signal will be given by the photon correlations along the grey diagonal lines drawn in (i) (for classical light) and (iii) (for a N00N state). This also means that all of the other possible events are discarded. The OCM signal utilizes all of this data. For two photons, the OCM signal can be visualized as the integral of the joint-probability functions onto the grey diagonal lines drawn in Fig. 1(b) (i) and (iii). In other words, it is a histogram of all the points plotted versus their centroid position, \( (x_1 + x_2)/2 \). Notice that the OCM also increases the number of “effective pixels” by a factor of \( N \), since if, for example, detectors 3 and 4 fire the value of the centroid is 3.5, making it possible to detect superresolution features which are much smaller than the physical pixel size. For higher values of \( N \), the \( N \)-photon centroid is computed similarly from an \( N \)-photon joint-probability function.

Unlike the \( N \)-photon-absorbing proposal, which keeps only \( O(1/D^{N-1}) \) of the \( N \)-photon events (if there are \( D \) pixels), this method uses them all. Note that even though the OCM provides an efficiency enhancement of \( D^{N-1} \), if one photon is detected with probability \( \eta \) then \( N \) photons will be detected with probability \( \eta^N \), regardless of the detection scheme.

From Fig. 1(b), it can be seen that performing an OCM on a N00N state will result in a signal with the same periodicity as the \( N \)-photon absorption detection scheme. It is also evident that performing the OCM on classical light will result in fringes with this enhanced periodicity. Although the classical OCM indeed results in a signal with a period of \( \lambda/(2N \sin \theta) \), its visibility decreases exponentially with \( N \), as \( V_c = (1/2N^{N-1}) \). (As shown in the Supplemental Material, this is because performing an \( N \)-fold OCM on classical light results in signal which is the convolution of the singles intensity pattern with itself \( N \) times.) However, as we show experimentally for two-, three-, and four-photon N00N states, the visibility of the N00N state OCM remains constant, independent of \( N \).

Experimentally, we produce N00N states in polarization using a technique which, given bright down-conversion sources, can produce N00N states of arbitrary \( N \). Our source [Fig. 2(a)] creates N00N states by combining laser light with light from a type-I collinear down-conversion (DC) source. The DC light is generated by pumping a 1 mm BBO crystal, cut for type-I phase
matching, with 600 mW average power of 404 nm light. The 404 nm pump is generated by frequency-doubling light from a femtosecond Ti:Sapph laser (running at 1.4 W average power and 76 MHz repetition rate, and centered at 808 nm) using a 2 mm long crystal of BBO. We measure approximately 12,000 two-photon counts/s from the DC source, with a coupling efficiency (pairs/singles) of 9.5% when single-photon detectors are placed directly after the in-fiber polarizing beam splitter (PBS) of panel b. The DC light is spatially overlapped with light from the Ti:Sapph laser at a PBS, passed through a half wave plate at 22.5°, and coupled into polarization-maintaining single-mode fiber. To generate N00N states, the relative phase between the two arms must be set to zero, and the relative amplitudes balanced. The source is optimized for N00N states of different N by simply changing the relative amplitude between the laser and DC light [15]. Ideally, two- and three-photon N00N states can be made perfectly by setting the two-photon rate from the laser equal to that from the DC light (which we will refer to as “configuration 1”). Although our source cannot make perfect four-photon N00N states, it can, in principle, make a state which has 93% fidelity with the ideal four-photon N00N state (and an OCM visibility of 93%). This requires that the laser two-photon rate be three times larger than the DC two-photon rate. However, even if the laser rate is further increased, increasing the four-photon count rate, the fidelity of the four-photon state will not be significantly degraded [20]. To make four-photon N00N states, we choose to make the laser two-photon rate 8.5 times larger than the DC rate (configuration 2), which leads to a fidelity with the ideal state of 85%, a theoretical OCM visibility of 85%, and increases the four-photon rate by about a factor of 10 (compared to the configuration in which the four-photon fidelity is optimized).

The phase between the two arms must also be stabilized to make N00N states. We accomplished this by using a piezoelectric-driven trombone arm in the laser path. To generate a feedback signal, small amounts of DC and laser light are sent through the other port of the state-preparation PBS, to the “locking measurement” [Fig. 2(c)]. We measure 500 down-converted two-photon counts/s at the locking measurement and 5000 two-photon counts/s from the laser, creating a low-fidelity two-photon N00N state. This state will be phase shifted if the phase between the two arms drifts, and can therefore be used to track the phase drift. After acquiring counts for 5 s, the phase of the state is measured, and any phase drift between the two arms is corrected. This allows us to keep the N00N-state source stable for days.

Once polarization N00N states are collected into polarization-maintaining single-mode fiber from the source, they are sent to the OCM apparatus [Fig. 2(b)]. There, they are converted into path-entangled N00N states using an in-fiber PBS. Once the output polarizations are matched, the two modes are spatially interfered, by overlapping them at a 50:50 beam splitter, at an angle of θ = 0.16 mrad. These overlapped modes are focused onto a “fiber-ribbon” (serving as our fixed-detector array) with cylindrical lenses. The visibility of the interference pattern formed across the detector array is measured to be 90% using classical light. The phase of this interference signal is actively locked by observing the phase on the detector array, and feeding this back to a piezoelectric actuator which moves one of the collimators.

Our array of single-photon detectors consists of 12 multimode fibers, mounted in a standard MTP12 fiber ribbon. The fiber cores are 62.5 μm in diameter, and are mounted linearly with a 250 μm separation between fiber centers. This results in a fill factor (and therefore maximum coupling efficiency) of ≈25%. Eleven of these fibers are coupled to Perkin-Elmer single-photon counting modules (SPCM), which are all connected to a custom-built FPGA-based coincidence counting circuit capable of detecting coincidence events among all possible detector combinations. Using classical light, we measure an overall coupling efficiency of 20% into the 11 fibers making up our detector array. The additional losses in the OCM apparatus further reduce the final coupling efficiency of the DC photons (pairs/singles) from 9.5% to 0.2% (measured in the fiber ribbon by summing the two-photon counts, and dividing by the sum of the singles).

We run the N00N-state source in the two configurations (described above) to take data. In configuration 1, we observed 150 two-photon N00N states per second and 328 three-photon N00N states in 66 minutes, using the fiber ribbon. In configuration 2, we measured 284 four-photon N00N states in 57 hours. The measurement time increases.
with N because we couple much less than one photon-pair per pulse, and a four-photon N00N state is created only when two pairs are emitted in a single pulse, which is even rarer. Recent progress towards on-demand sources of entangled photons [21,22] could greatly reduce the measurement time [16].

The resulting OCMs are plotted in the second column of Fig. 3 (circles). The three panels, from top to bottom, are the two-, three-, and four-photon OCMs. A sinusoid with a Gaussian envelope is fitted to the data (solid lines), and the visibility extracted from the sinusoidal part of the fit. We expect the OCM data have a Gaussian envelope narrowing with N; this is because the singles intensity has a Gaussian envelope and it becomes less likely to produce a centroid result far from the center as N increases. In the fits to the two- to four-photon data, the period of the N-photon centroid is constrained to be the single-photon period divided by N. The resulting visibilities are 49 ± 4%, 44 ± 5% and 41 ± 6%, for the two-, three-, and four-photon OCMs respectively. The three- and four-photon visibilities are well in excess of the classical limits of 25% and 12.5%, surpassing them by 3.6 and 4.7 standard deviations, respectively. To account for imperfections in the source (arising from imperfect coupling of the down-converted light), accidental counts were subtracted from the OCM data (explained in the Supplemental Material [19]). The OCM visibilities, after subtracting these accidental counts, are 65 ± 4%, 61 ± 6% and 59 ± 8%, for the two-, three-, and four-photon OCMs, respectively. The accidental-corrected visibilities are better than the classical limits by 3.8, 6.0 and 5.8 standard deviations, respectively. For comparison, we experimentally perform an OCM on classical light (by blocking the DC light). These results are plotted in the first column of Fig. 3. The measurement times are 10 minutes for the one-, two-, and three-photon classical centroid measurements, and 45 minutes for the four-photon measurements. The ‘classical’ acquisition times are shorter than for the N00N states since the laser rate can be turned up much higher, as it does not need to match the down-converted rate. These visibilities are 44 ± 9%, 18 ± 4%, and 14 ± 4%, agreeing well with the expected exponential decay. The increase in the number of “effective pixels” is also evident in these data; the singles signal has 11 points, while the four-photon data have 44. In practical terms, this means an array with fixed spacing could be used to perform an OCM with a N00N state of arbitrary N, unlike the standard N-photon-absorbing scheme which would require the pixel spacing to scale as 1/N.

To study the scalability of our experiment, we plot the various visibilities versus photon number in Fig. 3(c). The solid curve is the theoretical prediction for the visibility of the classical OCM signal. The experimentally measured classical visibilities (triangles) agree well with this curve, demonstrating a clear exponential decay. The visibility of the OCM signal performed on a N00N state should be constant (in principle it should be 1.0). Although the measured raw visibilities (circles) are not perfect, they are nearly independent of N (this trend is also evident in the accidental-corrected visibilities). These measurements demonstrate a crucial element of the scalability of the OCM—the N00N visibilities remain constant while the classical visibilities decrease exponentially. The OCM’s detection efficiency enhancement is the other essential aspect of its scalability. One could directly measure this enhancement by comparing the rate of an N-photon absorber measurement to our OCM rate. However, we do not this since it would require replacing each of our 11 SPCMs with a number-resolving detector. This points to another benefit of the OCM: we were able to measure four-photon quantum interference without the need for number-resolving detectors or a four-photon absorber. Our experiment indicates that, as technology progresses, using high-efficiency detector arrays and on-demand entangled-photons sources, our techniques could be used to measure high-N00N superresolution signals with high visibility.

In conclusion, we have measured spatial interference patterns of two-, three-, and four-photon N00N states using an 11-detector multiplexed measurement (the OCM). Our N00N-state source produces high-fidelity, high-N N00N
states [15,16,20], and we have shown that the \( \text{N00N} \) state OCM signal displays \( N \)-fold superresolution, and a visibility that is nearly independent of \( N \). Thus, our implementation is naturally applicable to higher photon numbers. This could be achieved using several exciting new technologies which are continually advancing, such as high-efficiency single-photon detectors [23,24], high-fill-factor single-photon detector arrays [25], and brighter down-conversion sources with high coupling efficiency [21,22]. Our experiment demonstrates a practical implementation to overcome the problem of efficiently detecting \( N \)-photon states—a fundamental challenge to practical quantum metrology—and could open the door for Heisenberg-limited phase detection and quantum imaging.
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