Transport of a Single Cold Ion Immersed in a Bose-Einstein Condensate

T. Dieterle,¹ M. Berngruber,¹ C. Hölzlo,¹ R. Löw,¹ K. Jachymskio,² T. Pfau,¹ and F. Meinerto¹

¹5. Physikalisches Institut and Center for Integrated Quantum Science and Technology, Universität Stuttgart,

Pfaffenwaldring 57, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

²Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Pasteura 5, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland

(Received 1 July 2020; accepted 12 November 2020; published 19 January 2021)

We investigate transport dynamics of a single low-energy ionic impurity in a Bose-Einstein condensate. The impurity is implanted into the condensate starting from a single Rydberg excitation, which is ionized by a sequence of fast electric field pulses aiming to minimize the ion's initial kinetic energy. Using a small electric bias field, we study the subsequent collisional dynamics of the impurity subject to an external force. The fast ion-atom collision rate, stemming from the dense degenerate host gas and the large ion-atom scattering cross section, allow us to study a regime of frequent collisions of the impurity within only tens of microseconds. Comparison of our measurements with stochastic trajectory simulations based on sequential Langevin collisions indicate diffusive transport properties of the impurity and allows us to measure its mobility. Our results open a novel path to study dynamics of charged quantum impurities in ultracold matter.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.033401

Unraveling the microscopic details of transport processes forms a prime challenge to understand macroscopic phenomena in complex many-body systems. Paradigms thereof comprise Drude-type electronic motion, Cooper pairing in superconductors, or hopping dynamics in lattice or spin systems. The advent of ultracold atomic gases has opened exciting new routes to perform microscopy of transport phenomena in quantum systems [1,2], even at the level of individual particles by deliberately implanting single impurity atoms in the host gas and monitoring their dynamics in real time. Recent advances in controlling neutral impurities interacting with bulk Bose and Fermi gases, for example, offer means to investigate polaron formation [3–6], to trace individual particle collisions [7], or to study exotic impurity dynamics in reduced dimensions [8,9]. Neutral impurities interact with the quantum gas via short-range contact interaction. In contrast, hybrid platforms combining neutral atoms with co-trapped ions allow for realizing strongly coupled charged impurities, which interact with the host gas via a comparatively longrange polarization potential [10].

The strong ion-atom interaction has been exploited not only for sympathetic collisional cooling of the ionic impurity by its host gas [11–15], but also for extensive studies of cold two- and three-body charge-neutral chemistry induced by the long-range tail of the polarization potential [16–19]. Further, the rich interplay between elastic collisions and chemical processes has been subject to theoretical studies of ionic impurities at ultralow temperatures, predicting coherent formation of exotic mesoscopic molecular ions with large effective masses [20,21], novel transport processes driven by resonant charge exchange [22], or polaronic effects for ion-doped degenerate gases [23]. Indeed, mobility studies of ions in liquid helium have been exploited early on to probe central properties of superfluidity [24,25]. However, the experimental realization of directed impurity transport in a cold ion-atom hybrid system so far remained elusive and is impeded by the presence of strong confining potentials and typical intrinsic trap-induced micromotion.

In this work, we study transport of a single cold ion through a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) on a completely different experimental footing. We control a free and low kinetic energy ionic impurity in a nominally field-free environment. This ion is generated from a single precursor Rydberg atom by a tailored pulsed field-ionization sequence, and strong Rydberg blockade grants the creation of a single charged impurity only [26–28]. Subsequently, we steer the ion through the BEC by applying exquisitely controlled bias electric fields [Fig. 1(a)]. This allows us to observe indications of diffusive ionic transport as a result of the large cross section for ion-atom Langevin collisions.

The interaction between an ion and neutral atoms at long range is well captured by an induced charge-dipole polarization potential of the familiar form $V(R) = -C_4/(2R^4)$ [10], where *R* denotes the internuclear separation and C_4 the atom's polarizability ($C_4 = 318.8$ a.u. for Rb [29]). For the typical collision energies considered in this work, it is this long-range tail which determines the Langevin scattering rate $\gamma_L = 2\pi n_{\rm at} \sqrt{C_4/\mu}$ of binary ion-atom scattering events leading to large deflection angles [30]. Here μ is the ion-atom reduced mass and $n_{\rm at}$ denotes the atom number density of the host gas. The rate for such Langevin-type collisions can reach up to hundreds of kHz at densities



FIG. 1. (a) Schematic view of a single Rb⁺ ion (yellow sphere) transported through a Bose-Einstein condensate via an applied electric field *E*. Two exemplary ion trajectories indicate frequent binary Langevin scattering with host gas atoms (green spheres) and a competing three-body collision event. (b) Numerical trajectory simulations (see text) of the mean velocity $\langle v_i \rangle$ as a function of evolution time *t* for an ion created at the BEC center predict a field-dependent drift velocity (dashed horizontal lines) after an initial transient, indicative of diffusive ion transport.

typical for gaseous BECs ($n_{\rm at} \sim 10^{14} {\rm cm}^{-3}$), and may thus lead to frequent collisions on timescales of only a few tens of microseconds. Such fast scattering rates form the basis for our experiments and specifically allow us to observe collision-dominated transport dynamics of an untrapped ion controlled by small electric bias fields.

Our experiments start with a magnetically trapped BEC of typically 8×10^5 ⁸⁷Rb atoms in the $|5S_{1/2}, F = 2, m_F =$ 2) hyperfine state. Trap frequencies of $(\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z) = 2\pi \times$ (194, 16, 194) Hz yield a condensate with Thomas-Fermi radii of $(4.4, 53, 4.4) \mu m$ and a peak density of $n_{\rm at} = 4.1 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The BEC is surrounded by three pairs of electrodes [Fig. 2(b)] allowing us to control electric fields at the gas position to a level $\leq 300 \ \mu V/cm$ [28]. Next, we implant a single low-energy Rb^+ ion into the central region of the condensate by promoting one atom from the atomic ensemble to a highly excited $160S_{1/2}$ Rydberg level with a tightly focused excitation laser (1.8 μ m waist) [31]. Subsequently, the single Rydberg atom is ionized by a short (10 ns long) electric field pulse of 2.85 V/cm followed by a second tailored field pulse of similar shape but with opposite polarity. This sequence allows us to rapidly decelerate the produced ion and results in small initial velocities of $\leq 0.1 \text{ m/s}$ ($E_{\text{kin}} \approx k_B \times 50 \mu \text{K}$) [31].

The produced low-energy ionic impurity is now accelerated via a deliberately applied electric field E of several mV/cm pointing along the long y axis of the BEC. After a variable evolution time t, we interrupt the transport dynamics with a two-step electric field pulse rapidly



FIG. 2. (a) Measurement sequence consisting of the excitation of a single Rydberg atom (RE) and production of a low-energy ion via pulsed field ionization (PFI). After a variable evolution time *t* in the electric field *E*, a two-step electric field pulse (EF) guides the ion to the MCP for temporarily separated detection of Rb⁺ and Rb₂⁺. (b) Detection principal of ionic transport. The twostep extraction pulse [EF in (a)] in combination with an ion lens in einzel lens configuration results in a time of flight to the MCP which depends on the position of the ion in the BEC after time *t*. The color of the electrodes encodes, which field plates are used for applying the PFI and EF pulses shown in (a). (c) Distribution of the ion's time of flight t_{tof} as a function of evolution time *t* for E = 4.3 mV/cm measured in a dense BEC (blue) and a lowdensity regime for reference (red). The low-density data are slightly offset in *t* for better visibility.

guiding the ion towards a microchannel plate (MCP) for detection [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)] [31]. Finally, the entire procedure is repeated 50 times with the same ensemble of atoms to gain statistics. Along its path to the MCP, the Rb⁺ ion passes through an ion lens in einzel lens configuration, resulting in a time of flight t_{tof} to the detector which depends on the ion position prior to the extraction sequence [Fig. 2(b)]. In some cases, we detect a formed molecular ion Rb₂⁺, which due to its larger mass arrives at much later time at the MCP.

Let us now focus on the distribution of the Rb⁺ arrival times t_{tof} at the MCP. An exemplary dataset for a field E = 4.3 mV/cm and different values of t is shown in Fig. 2(c) (blue bars). We compare this measurement to a reference dataset taken at about 40 times smaller densities (red bars), for which the rate of ion-atom collisions ($\gamma_L \approx 24$ kHz) is sufficiently small so that the ion motion



FIG. 3. Ion transport through the BEC for an electric field E = 1.4 (a),(c) and E = 4.3 mV/cm (b),(d). Shown are the measured (top row) and numerically simulated (bottom row) distributions of the ion's time of flight to the MCP as a function of transport time *t*. The color scale encodes the normalized ion signal as shown in Fig. 2(c). Black circles depict means of the measured and simulated time of flight distributions $\langle t_{tof} \rangle$ and the horizontal bars indicate the corresponding widths at half maximum. For comparison, the red diamonds are measurements and simulations, respectively, of $\langle t_{tof} \rangle$ for a ballistic ion dynamics at low density. The upper abscissa in (a) and (b) indicates the mapped mean ion position $\langle y_i \rangle$ (see text).

is fully ballistic. Evidently, one finds that the ion arrives at systematically shorter times at the MCP when it is prepared in and accelerated through the dense BEC. This difference is a result of frequent ion-atom collisions, which we quantify in the following in more detail.

To this end, we study the transport dynamics of the ion through the BEC as a function of the applied electric field E. Representative measurements showing the distribution of the ion's time of flight t_{tof} to the MCP as a function of the transport time t are presented in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) for two values of E. As before, the presence of ion-atom scattering causes systematic shifts of t_{tof} towards earlier times compared to reference measurements taken in a dilute collisionless regime of ballistic ion dynamics (red diamonds). For a quantitative analysis of this observation, we characterize our detection scheme through a detailed analysis of the ion's path to the MCP using a chargedparticle trajectory solver [32]. For our transport measurements in the BEC, this allows us to map the ion's mean time of flight $\langle t_{tof} \rangle$, depicted by the black circles, to its mean axial spatial coordinate $\langle y_i \rangle$ in the BEC. Note that our analysis accounts for the specific electric-field and ion lens configuration of our setup and is carefully calibrated using the ballistic low-density reference data [31]. The obtained mean ion position $\langle y_i \rangle$ is indicated by the upper abscissa for the measurements in Fig. 3.

Next, we compare our measurements to numerical simulations of the ionic transport dynamics through the condensate. The ion motion is modeled as a series of successive ion-atom collisions with ballistic motion in between [33,34]. The time for a collision event to happen is Monte Carlo sampled according to the ion-atom Langevin scattering rate γ_L . A second stochastically sampled parameter is the characteristic isotropic scattering angle (in the relative coordinate frame of the colliding ionatom pair) of spiraling-type Langevin collisions. This stochastic trajectory approach allows us to account for the varying atomic density which the ion probes on its path through the condensate, as well as for the spatial distribution of initial ion positions characterized by the Gaussian profile of our Rydberg excitation laser. Note that we assume only elastic collisions and also neglect the much lower temperature of the atoms in the BEC compared to the average ion kinetic energy. We have also verified that glancing-type forward scattering collisions, which are not accounted for by the Langevin rate, do not significantly affect the transport dynamics [31]. Numerical results of this model, after a subsequent propagation through our iondetection setup as described above, deliver the full time-dependent distribution of t_{tof} . A comparison of the simulated data for the two explored electric field values [Figs. 3(c) and 3(d)] with the experiment yields good agreement. The excellent accordance of the simulated data with the experiment at low densities demonstrates our precise control of the applied electric fields in the range of only a few mV/cm.

Our analysis shows that the reported experiment explores a regime of frequent ion-atom collisions, as a result of which the ionic impurity quickly reaches a state of diffusive transport. This diffusive transport is characterized by a constant drift motion with an electric-field-dependent mean velocity $\langle v_i \rangle_d$ [cf. Fig. 1(b)]. To extract a drift velocity from our measurements, we evaluate the time evolution of the mean ion position $\langle y_i \rangle$ from datasets as shown in Fig. 3. Results for four different values of E are shown in Fig. 4(a) together with linear fits to the data, the slopes of which yield values for $\langle v_i \rangle_d$. Note that for the case of our finite size condensate, the diffusive motion is a transient state which turns over into ballistic dynamics once the ion approaches the edge of the condensate. For this reason and guided by our numerical calculations, the linear fits for extracting $\langle v_i \rangle_d$ exclude data points for $t > 15 \ \mu s$. The transient character of the diffusive transport is also apparent in the corresponding simulated time evolution of $\langle v_i \rangle$ and $\langle y_i \rangle$ shown in Fig. 4(b), which, as mentioned above, takes into account the BEC shape as well as the distribution of the initial ion position. Note that the obtained time evolution of



FIG. 4. (a) Mean position $\langle y_i \rangle$ of the ion as a function of evolution time t for E = 1.4 (black diamonds), 2.8 (blue squares), 4.3 (red triangles), 5.7 (green circles) mV/cm. Error bars originate from the standard deviation of the fitted center of the ion time of flight distribution to its center of mass. Solid lines show linear fits to the data for $t < 15 \ \mu s$ to analyze the ion's diffusive motion and to extract the associated drift velocity $\langle v_i \rangle_d$. (b) Numerically simulated mean ion position $\langle y_i \rangle$ as a function of evolution time t for the same values of E as in (a), depicted in the same color. The inset shows the corresponding mean particle velocity $\langle v_i \rangle$. The shaded regions indicate the characteristic plateau of nearly constant transient drift velocity. (c) Measured (blue diamonds) and simulated (black triangles) drift velocity $\langle v_i \rangle_d$ as a function of the applied electric field E.Vertical and horizontal error bars result from the uncertainty of the linear fits in (a) and indicate the level of stray field control ($\pm 300 \ \mu V/cm$) in our setup, respectively. Error bars for the numerical data reflect the shaded regions around $\langle v_i \rangle_d$ in the inset of (b). Solid lines are linear fits to the data to extract the ion mobility (see text). The right ordinate shows the kinetic energy of the ion corresponding to $\langle v_i \rangle_d$.

the mean ion position largely deviates from the pure ballistic motion obtained at lower density.

The electric field dependence of $\langle v_i \rangle_d$ obtained from the linear fits in Fig. 4(a) is shown in Fig. 4(c) (blue diamonds). The data follow a linear functional dependence which is a hallmark signature of diffusive transport. From this linear dependence we deduce a mobility for the ionic impurity in our BEC $\mu_{\text{ion}}^{\text{exp}} = \partial \langle v_i \rangle_d / \partial E = (47 \pm 16) \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^2/(\text{Vs})$. Finally, the measurements are compared to the prediction from the stochastic trajectory simulation (black triangles), which yields $\mu_{\text{ion}}^{\text{sim}} = (33 \pm 3) \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^2/(\text{Vs})$. The numerical results in Fig. 4(c) are obtained from linear fits

to the simulated data for $\langle y_i \rangle$ for the same range of *t* as for the experimental data points, and coincide within $\lesssim 7\%$ with drift velocities obtained from the transient plateau in $\langle v_i \rangle_d$ [cf. inset to Fig. 4(b)]. The slightly higher mobility in the experiment compared to the simulations may be attributed to competing inelastic collisions.

Indeed, we observe that in a fraction of the realizations a Rb_2^+ molecule arrives at the MCP instead of a Rb^+ ion. These molecules dynamically form via three-body recombination and can be easily distinguished by their longer time of flight to the detector [cf. Fig. 2(a)]. This allows us to exclude events resulting in molecular ion formation from the transport analysis. However, since three-body recombination appears most likely in the densest central part of the excitation volume, our numerical analysis likely overestimates the number of ions stemming from the very center of the BEC and consequently their contribution to the ensemble averaged transport signal. Evidently, such an effect systematically reduces the effective atomic density and qualitatively leads to a larger ion mobility. More quantitatively, we find that for vanishing E and after $t \approx 20 \ \mu s$ about half of the generated ions have been subject to threebody recombination. This number systematically drops for increasing values of E [31]. While the inelastic collisions only play a minor role for the elastic transport process studied here, our experimental means to distinguish them via mass spectrometry delivers intriguing insights into the stability of the ionic impurity in the condensate, as we discuss in more detail elsewhere [35].

In conclusion, we have investigated transport of a single, untrapped low-energy ionic impurity through a BEC. The charged impurity is produced from a single Rydberg atom via a double-pulse field-ionization scheme minimizing its initial kinetic energy. We have observed indications of diffusive transport by the ion through the condensate via small electric bias fields and have measured the ion's mobility. The comparatively high BEC density in combination with the large ion-atom Langevin scattering cross section causes frequent collisions on a tens of microseconds timescale before the ion exits the gas. While the transport dynamics studied in this work is well explained by semiclassical Langevin collisions, the energy scales accessed here are only limited by the level of stray field control. Improved control over the electric fields combined with high spatial resolution may allow in future experiments to enter a regime where only few partial waves contribute and quantum effects start to dictate the transport. It is very appealing to augment our techniques by ion-imaging optics, which is currently explored for ultracold Rydberg experiments, and allows for sub-micrometer spatial resolution and excellent time resolution [36]. This provides exciting prospects to study spatially resolved ultracold ion-atom scattering from the level of individual collisions [37] to situations where collective phenomena play a role, comprising quantum effects for ion impurity transport and associated polaron-type dynamics [22,23,38].

We acknowledge support from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft [Projects No. PF 381/13-1 and No. PF 381/17-1, the latter being part of the SPP 1929 (GiRyd)] and the Carl Zeiss Foundation via IQST. F. M. is indebted to the Baden-Württemberg-Stiftung for the financial support by the Eliteprogramm for Postdocs. K. J. acknowledges support from the Polish National Agency for Academic Exchange (NAWA) via the Polish Returns 2019 programme.

- [1] C.-C. Chien, S. Peotta, and M. Di Ventra, Nat. Phys. 11, 998 (2015).
- [2] S. Krinner, D. Stadler, D. Husmann, J.-P. Brantut, and T. Esslinger, Nature (London) 517, 64 (2015).
- [3] A. Schirotzek, C.-H. Wu, A. Sommer, and M. W. Zwierlein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 230402 (2009).
- [4] C. Kohstall, M. Zaccanti, M. Jag, A. Trenkwalder, P. Massignan, G. M. Bruun, F. Schreck, and R. Grimm, Nature (London) 485, 615 (2012).
- [5] M. Koschorreck, D. Pertot, E. Vogt, B. Fröhlich, M. Feld, and M. Köhl, Nature (London) 485, 619 (2012).
- [6] N. B. Jørgensen, L. Wacker, K. T. Skalmstang, M. M. Parish, J. Levinsen, R. S. Christensen, G. M. Bruun, and J. J. Arlt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 055302 (2016).
- [7] M. Hohmann, F. Kindermann, T. Lausch, D. Mayer, F. Schmidt, E. Lutz, and A. Widera, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 263401 (2017).
- [8] S. Palzer, C. Zipkes, C. Sias, and M. Köhl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 150601 (2009).
- [9] F. Meinert, M. Knap, E. Kirilov, K. Jag-Lauber, M. B. Zvonarev, E. Demler, and H.-C. Nägerl, Science 356, 945 (2017).
- [10] M. Tomza, K. Jachymski, R. Gerritsma, A. Negretti, T. Calarco, Z. Idziaszek, and P. S. Julienne, Rev. Mod. Phys. 91, 035001 (2019).
- [11] C. Zipkes, S. Palzer, C. Sias, and M. Köhl, Nature (London) 464, 388 (2010).
- [12] Z. Meir, T. Sikorsky, R. Ben-shlomi, N. Akerman, Y. Dallal, and R. Ozeri, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 243401 (2016).
- [13] T. Feldker, H. Fürst, H. Hirzler, N. V. Ewald, M. Mazzanti, D. Wiater, M. Tomza, and R. Gerritsma, Nat. Phys. 16, 413 (2020).
- [14] S. Dutta and S. A. Rangwala, Phys. Rev. A 97, 041401(R) (2018).
- [15] J. Schmidt, P. Weckesser, F. Thielemann, T. Schaetz, and L. Karpa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 053402 (2020).

- [16] A. T. Grier, M. Cetina, F. Oručević, and V. Vuletić, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 223201 (2009).
- [17] A. Härter, A. Krükow, A. Brunner, W. Schnitzler, S. Schmid, and J. Hecker Denschlag, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 123201 (2012).
- [18] L. Ratschbacher, C. Zipkes, C. Sias, and M. Köhl, Nat. Phys. 8, 649 (2012).
- [19] T. Sikorsky, Z. Meir, R. Ben-shlomi, N. Akerman, and R. Ozeri, Nat. Commun. 9, 920 (2018).
- [20] R. Côté, V. Kharchenko, and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 093001 (2002).
- [21] J. M. Schurer, A. Negretti, and P. Schmelcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 063001 (2017).
- [22] R. Côté, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 5316 (2000).
- [23] G. E. Astrakharchik, L. A. Peña Ardila, R. Schmidt, K. Jachymski, and A. Negretti, arXiv:2005.12033.
- [24] F. Reif and L. Meyer, Phys. Rev. 119, 1164 (1960).
- [25] G. W. Rayfield and F. Reif, Phys. Rev. Lett. 11, 305 (1963).
 [26] J. B. Balewski, A. T. Krupp, A. Gaj, D. Peter, H. P. Büchler, R. Löw, S. Hofferberth, and T. Pfau, Nature (London) 502, 664 (2013).
- [27] K. S. Kleinbach, F. Engel, T. Dieterle, R. Löw, T. Pfau, and F. Meinert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 193401 (2018).
- [28] F. Engel, T. Dieterle, T. Schmid, C. Tomschitz, C. Veit, N. Zuber, R. Löw, T. Pfau, and F. Meinert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 193401 (2018).
- [29] W. F. Holmgren, M. C. Revelle, V. P. A. Lonij, and A. D. Cronin, Phys. Rev. A 81, 053607 (2010).
- [30] R. Côté and A. Dalgarno, Phys. Rev. A 62, 012709 (2000).
- [31] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.033401 for details on the Rydberg excitation, ion detection, characterization of the pulsed field ionization, SIMION simulations, stochastic trajectory simulations, and molecular ion formation.
- [32] SIMION v.8.1.1., Scientific Instrument Services (SIS).
- [33] C. Zipkes, L. Ratschbacher, C. Sias, and M. Köhl, New J. Phys. 13, 053020 (2011).
- [34] K. Chen, S. T. Sullivan, and E. R. Hudson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 143009 (2014).
- [35] T. Dieterle, M. Berngruber, C. Hölzl, R. Löw, K. Jachymski, T. Pfau, and F. Meinert, Phys. Rev. A 102, 041301(R) (2020).
- [36] M. Stecker, H. Schefzyk, J. Fortágh, and A. Günther, New J. Phys. **19**, 043020 (2017).
- [37] T. Schmid, C. Veit, N. Zuber, R. Löw, T. Pfau, M. Tarana, and M. Tomza, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 153401 (2018).
- [38] W. Casteels, J. Tempere, and J. T. Devreese, J. Low Temp. Phys. 162, 266 (2011).