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We present a rigorous approach, based on the concept of continuous thermomajorization, to algorithmically characterize the full set of energy occupations of a quantum system accessible from a given initial state through weak interactions with a heat bath. The algorithm can be deployed to solve complex optimization problems in out-of-equilibrium setups and it returns explicit elementary control sequences realizing optimal transformations. We illustrate this by finding optimal protocols in the context of cooling, work extraction, and catalysis. The same tools also allow one to quantitatively assess the role played by memory effects in the performance of thermodynamic protocols. We obtained exhaustive solutions on a laptop machine for systems with dimension \(d \leq 7\), but with heuristic methods one could access much higher \(d\).
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Introduction.—Thermalization is a ubiquitous process across quantum sciences. A control over interactions between a quantum system and its thermal environment is pivotal for applications ranging from quantum computing to thermodynamics and, quite broadly, for demonstrating and harnessing quantum effects in a variety of experimental platforms. Algorithmic cooling protocols initializing pure ancilla states [1,2], quantum heat engines [3–5], fridges and heat pumps [6–8], dissipative generation of quantum entanglement [9]—these are but a few examples of tasks involving thermalization stages. These all require the identification of optimal thermalization schedules maximizing corresponding objective functions (ground state population, efficiency, cooling power, entanglement, etc.).

Performing such optimizations in full generality is typically hopeless. In fact, thermalizations are routinely described by quantum Markovian master equations [10], and the problem of characterizing all dynamics that can result from the integration of such a class of differential equations is extremely challenging even classically, where it is known as the embeddability problem [11,12]. Despite having been studied for decades in the mathematics literature, general solutions are not known beyond the simplest cases of dimension \(d = 2\) and \(d = 3\) [13–16].

Here, we start from the observation that the following properties hold in wide generality across standard thermalization models used in atomic, molecular and optical physics, quantum thermodynamics, and quantum computing [17–20]: (P1) The evolution of the system’s quantum state is described by a Markovian master equation. (P2) The thermal state is a fixed point of the evolution. (P3) Evolutions of the energy occupations (“populations”) and that of energetic quantum superpositions (“coherences”) decouple. There are numerous examples of phenomena described by equations satisfying the above assumptions: thermalization strokes in thermal machines [21,22], weak thermal contact of a quantum system with a large environment [10,23], an atomic degree of freedom interacting weakly with a thermal radiation field [17], depolarization noise in a quantum computer and strong coupling scenarios via reaction coordinates [24]. For brevity, we will refer to any such dynamical thermalization model as a Markovian thermal process or an MTP.

The questions we address in this work are the following: (Q1) What are the most general constraints characterizing the evolution of energy occupations (populations) under an MTP? (Q2) Given an initial out-of-equilibrium population, can we construct the set of all populations achievable from it under arbitrary MTP? (Q3) If a transformation from an initial to a final population is possible under MTPs, can we construct an explicit control sequence realizing it? In what follows we employ the mathematical framework developed in the accompanying paper [25] to provide answers to all these questions. It turns out that (Q1) corresponds to a broad generalization of the well-known entropy production inequalities [26]. Concerning (Q2), we answer the question in the affirmative by providing an explicit verification algorithm and offering its Mathematica implementation [27]. Here, we shall illustrate how this algorithm can be used to solve optimization problems involving cooling, work extraction, and catalysis. We also report on a recent work which used our results to show that non-Markovianity boosts the efficiency of thermal bio-molecular switches [28]. Finally, in answering (Q3), we pave the way to the...
idea of algorithmically generated thermodynamic protocols, as we showcase by using our code to generate the optimal cooling protocol recently introduced in Ref. [29]. These applications build up encouraging evidence that the framework is suitable to perform model-independent optimizations, as well as to algorithmically construct new thermodynamic schemes in regimes where a complete analysis is unattainable by alternative methods.

Motivating example.—Before we go into the details of the general framework, we illustrate the idea within an experimentally relevant setting. Consider heat-bath algorithmic cooling (HBAC) protocols [2,30–33], whose aim is to achieve the largest possible cooling of a target system by means of protocols involving two main steps. First, a unitary interaction can be performed that involves the target system and some thermal ancilla qubits. Second, there is an interaction between system + ancillas and a thermal environment. The two steps are repeated several times.

Experimental realizations have been demonstrated in the context of (liquid and solid) state NMR, ion traps, and quantum optical setups, among others [2]. In the well-known PPA protocol [1], the interaction with the environment simply resets the ancilla qubits to a thermal state. For a long time, this was the best known scheme. More recently, the state-reset–Γ (SRΓ) protocol [29] was introduced. This is based on the nuclear Overhauser effect, and recently, the state-reset—(SR) protocol [29] was introduced. What is more, in the accompanying paper [25], we show that every transformation achievable under arbitrary MTP can be also achieved within a straightforward physical setup:

Theorem 1.—Any transformation achievable by an MTP can be realized by a sequence of elementary thermalizations, each involving only 2 energy levels of the system.

Elementary thermalizations between two levels \((i,j)\) are simply described by a standard reset master equation:

\[
\frac{dp_i(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{\gamma_i}{\gamma_i + \gamma_j} [p_i(t) + p_j(t)] - p_i(t) \right),
\]

and an analogous one exchanging \(i\) and \(j\). Here and in what follows, \(p(t)\) is a vector of populations with \(p_i(t) = \langle E_i | \rho(t) | E_j \rangle\), where \(| E_j \rangle\) is the eigenstate of \(H\) corresponding to energy \(E_j\) (for simplicity we consider a nondegenerate \(H\)), while \(\gamma\) is a vector of thermal populations. Elementary thermalizations describe an exponential relaxation of energy levels \((i,j)\) to a thermal state. For example, one may have to first thermalize levels 1 and 3 for some time, then 2 and 5, etc.

Such elementary thermalizations arise naturally from the weak interaction of a two-level system with a large bath [23,40] and collision models [41]. In practice, they are often used as building blocks for more complex protocols [42], in the context of work extraction [43] and slow driving [44]. Theorem 1 states that elementary thermalizations are a universal set of controls for MTP (in contrast to the non-Markovian regime, where it is not the case [45]).

The ability to selectively couple certain energy levels to the bath (ideally switching instantaneously from one to the other) is a commonplace assumption in the study of discrete engines, when one can couple and decouple submanifolds of the system’s energy spectrum [46] with baths at different temperatures [21,22]. Note, however, that two-level thermalizations on multiqubit systems require highly nonlocal interactions and hence may be challenging to implement. Also, we highlight that generally there will be infinitely many other protocols connecting the same two states. As we shall see, it is convenient to focus on elementary thermalizations because the necessary controls can be algorithmically constructed. In any case, we study thermalization independently of whether and how this stage is embedded in a more complex protocol.
Generalized entropy production inequalities (GEP).—In the accompanying paper [25], we derive the most general set of constrains that need to be satisfied by populations \( p(t) \) independently of the details of the MTP generating the evolution. These conditions subsume (and greatly strengthen) the standard positive entropy production inequality

\[
\frac{d\Sigma(t)}{dt} = \frac{dS(t)}{dt} - \beta J(t) \geq 0, \tag{3}
\]

where \( S(t) := -\text{Tr}[\rho(t) \log \rho(t)] \) is the von Neumann entropy and \( J := \text{Tr}[\mathcal{H} dp(t)/dt] \) is the heat current flowing to the system. Specifically, for any well-behaved convex function \( h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \), the \( h \) divergence defined by

\[
\Sigma_h(t) = -\sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i h\left( \frac{p_i(t)}{\gamma_i} \right), \tag{4}
\]

must be monotonically nondecreasing, \( d\Sigma_h(t)/dt \geq 0 \). For each choice of \( h \), the above qualifies as a valid GEP inequality.

Choosing \( h(x) = x \log(x) \), one obtains \( d\Sigma_q(t)/dt = dS_q(t)/dt - \beta J(t) \geq 0 \), where \( S_q(t) = -\sum_i p_i(t) \log p_i(t) \) is often referred to as the diagonal entropy [47]. This recovers a result recently appearing in Ref. [48], and can be used to derive the standard entropy production inequality in Eq. (3) [34]. Hence, the GEP framework implies the usual entropy production relation. Choosing \( h(x) = \text{sgn}(\alpha) x^\alpha / (\alpha - 1) \) for \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \), one obtains \( d\Sigma_\alpha(t)/dt \geq 0 \), where \( \Sigma_\alpha(t) = -S_\alpha(p(t)||\gamma) \) is the relative Rényi entropy. This recovers the “second laws” of Ref. [49] in a more stringent form. In contrast to the end-point conditions of Ref. [49], our constraints prescribe that all the \( \Sigma_\alpha \) are constantly nondecreasing along the dynamical trajectory \( p(t) \). When \( \gamma \) is a uniform distribution (infinite temperature limit) the above conditions reduce to the nondecreasing of all Rényi entropies [50]. Another relevant class of GEP inequalities can be found taking \( h_q(x) = \text{sgn}(q)(1 - x^q)/(1 - q) \), giving \( d\Sigma_q(t)/dt \geq 0 \), with \( \Sigma_q(t) = -S_q(p(t)||\gamma) \) and \( S_q^i(p||\gamma) := \text{sgn}(q)(\sum_i p_i^q \gamma_i^{1-q} - 1)/(q - 1) \) being the Tsallis relative entropy. The Tsallis entropies, well-known in nonextensive statistical mechanics and information theory [51–53], are recovered in the infinite temperature limit. This extends to arbitrary temperatures the results of Ref. [54]. Taking \( h(x) = -\log x \) we get \(-dV(t)/dt \geq 0\), with \( V(t) = -S(\gamma||p(t)) \) the “vacancy,” found in Ref. [55] to be the central constraint at very low temperatures.

GEP inequalities encompass a wealth of disparate results as part of a unified framework. At the same time, a natural question arises: Is there a family of entropic conditions that implies all others? The answer is affirmative and can be interpreted as a sort of exhaustive \( H \) theorem (for the proof see the accompanying paper [25]).

FIG. 1. Markovian thermalization in \( d = 3 \). Simplex representing the state space of all three-dimensional probability distributions. The gray area denotes the set of achievable states from \( p \) under arbitrary Markovian thermal processes when the thermal state is \( \gamma = [1/2, 1/3, 1/6] \). The elementary thermalizations \( T^{i;j} \), which fully thermalize the pair of levels \( i \) and \( j \) and whose action is indicated by red and blue arrows, play a central role in the sequence of required controls.

Theorem 2.—All GEP are implied by the nondecreasing of

\[
\Sigma_q(t) := \sum_{i=1}^d \left| p_i(t) - a \gamma_i \right|, \quad a \in [0, 1]. \tag{5}
\]

What is more, if there exists a trajectory connecting \( p(0) \) and \( p(t_f) \) along which all \( \Sigma_q \) do not decrease, then there exists an MTP transforming \( p(0) \) into \( p(t_f) \).

Not only \( \Sigma_q \) are a complete set of GEP, but they even guarantee the existence of a physical realization. In contrast, to satisfy the standard entropy production relation along a trajectory does not ensure the existence of a physical process.

Algorithmic verification and construction.—If we could construct the set of states \( T_+[p(0)] \) achievable under MTPs from a given \( p(0) \), we could use this knowledge for our optimization purposes. GEP inequalities encode such information, but of course one cannot check an infinite number of conditions along an infinite number of potential trajectories. Furthermore, they carry no information about the required controls. Remarkably, both problems can be solved in full generality employing the notion of continuous thermomajorization, as we explain in detail in Ref. [25].

Theorem 3.—Given \( p(0) \) the set of all states \( T_+[p(0)] \) achievable from it via arbitrary MTPs can be constructed by an algorithm in a finite number of steps. Moreover, the algorithm outputs a sequence of elementary thermalizations realizing any transformation of interest.

We provide a corresponding Mathematica code in Ref. [27], and present a toy example for a system of dimension \( d = 3 \) in Fig. 1. On a laptop machine, the algorithm solves the \( d = 6 \) case in minutes and \( d = 7 \) case in hours. Thus, our framework allows one to transform an
intractable optimization problem for an objective function $g$ into an explicit form:

$$
\max_{\{p(t_f)\}} g[p(t_f)] = \max_{q \in T_p} g[q],
$$

where $p(t_f)$ are all probability distributions achievable from $p(0)$ via MTPs. Whenever $g$ is convex, the new optimization problem is finitely verifiable, because the algorithm returns all extremal points of the set $T_p[p(0)]$. Note, however, that the set $T_p[p(0)]$ is not generally convex (as can be seen, e.g., in Fig. 1).

**Applications.**—We now proceed to illustrating some applications of our framework (see Ref. [34] for further details). The aim is to provide evidence of its usefulness, while we believe much more can be done at the interface with open quantum system dynamics.

We start off with the fundamental protocol of work extraction. Work in quantum thermodynamics is often treated as a random variable, but for microscopic systems its average can be of the same order of magnitude as its fluctuations [56]. Hence, much attention has been given to taming such fluctuations [56–59]. Single-shot work extraction protocols guarantee to extract an amount of work $W$ up to a failure probability $\epsilon$. More precisely, given an out of equilibrium system $S$ and access to a thermal environment $E$ at inverse temperature $\beta = 1/(kT)$, the task is to deterministically excite a battery system $B$, initially prepared in an energy eigenstate $E_0$, over an energy gap $W$. When the probability that $B$ has energy $E_0 + W$ is at least $1 - \epsilon$, one extracts $\epsilon$-deterministic work $W$ [57].

The optimal extraction error under thermal processes (TP), i.e., all processes satisfying (P2)–(P3) but not necessarily (P1) (so possibly non-Markovian), can be computed via the thermomajorization condition of Ref. [57]. However, when one is limited to MTP, the optimal error $\epsilon_{\text{MTP}}(W)$ can be computed with our algorithm. We observe that memory effects dramatically decrease the minimal error for given $W$, see Fig. 2. Note that $\epsilon_{\text{MTP}}(W)$ remains very high even in the $W \to 0$ limit, showing that converting a nonequilibrium resource into deterministic work either requires control over the energy spectrum (as in Refs. [42,56]), or otherwise relies on environmental memory effects.

In a similar manner, we can provide model-independent evidence of the role played by system-environment correlations in boosting cooling processes. Consider a system initially at equilibrium with the environment at inverse temperature $\beta$, and the task of cooling it down by maximizing its ground state occupation through a round of algorithmic cooling protocol. The first step of the protocol is to unitarily invert the occupations (while thermal occupations are monotonically decreasing with growing energy, the inverted occupations are monotonically increasing); and the second step is to optimally interact it with the bath to maximize the occupation of the ground state. Now, the interactions may be optimized over all TPs or all MTPs, and the difference in performance quantifies the boost to cooling due to memory effects. We illustrate this in Fig. 3 for a four-level system with an equidistant spectrum.

Our framework allows one not only to investigate the difference in performance of thermodynamic protocols with and without memory, but also to interpolate between these two extremes. This question relates to the well-known topic of catalysis in thermodynamics [49,60,61]. Catalysis is the phenomenon by which a certain transformation $p(0) \mapsto q$ is only possible when aided by an auxiliary system $c$ (the catalyst, playing the role of a memory), which is given back unchanged and uncorrelated at the end: $p(0) \otimes c \mapsto q \otimes c$. To illustrate this, we consider a two-level system initially at temperature two times higher than that of the bath. Under MTPs and with no catalyst, the system can be cooled at most to the temperature of the bath [62,63]. Our algorithm shows that a qubit thermal catalyst already allows one to cool the original system below the bath’s temperature, highlighting how catalysis is useful not only in the abstract resource theory setting [49,60,61], but also in the context of Markovian master equations.
describing standard thermalization models. What is more, differently from previous approaches, the algorithm returns an explicit set of controls implementing the protocol. This tackles one of the main challenges that insofar prevented the general results on catalysis to impact practical protocols.

Finally, we want to point out that our framework was recently used to obtain rigorous, quantitative evidence that environmental memory effects are beneficial to the efficiency of biomolecular processes [28]. More precisely, the authors of Ref. [28] demonstrated that the optimal photo-isomerization yield in the presence of memory effects is strictly larger than in the Markovian regime.

**Outlook.**—We constructed a framework to systematically perform optimization over thermalization processes and algorithmically construct optimal protocols. The algorithm yielded encouraging results in a range of relevant applications. A promising direction to probe higher dimensional systems ($d \geq 8$) is to relax the current exhaustive search to a heuristic algorithm, or to focus on short elementary thermalization sequences. Pushing the achievable dimension up, and combining the current algorithm optimizing the thermalization stage with alternative methods to optimize unitary stages (as we implicitly did in the HBAC application), will likely open up a range of applications, such as the optimization of quantum thermodynamic cycles of heat engines [64]. At the same time, our framework also offers a rigorous information-theoretical foundation to the dynamical viewpoint of quantum thermodynamics complementing the toolbox of master equation approaches, as we have seen with the systematic construction of GEP inequalities.
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[64] Here is a naive proposal. In a four-stroke engine, one can apply our algorithm to each of the thermalization strokes, computing all available final states, while unitary strokes are tackled by the usual methods. Using the elementary thermalization model, one then associates to each final state a time required to achieve it. This allows one to optimize functions of power and efficiency.