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#### Abstract

Among the four fundamental forces, only gravity does not couple to particle spins according to the general theory of relativity. We test this principle by searching for an anomalous scalar coupling between the neutron spin and the Earth's gravity on the ground. We develop an atomic gas comagnetometer to measure the ratio of nuclear spin-precession frequencies between ${ }^{129} \mathrm{Xe}$ and ${ }^{131} \mathrm{Xe}$, and search for a change of this ratio to the precision of $10^{-9}$ as the sensor is flipped in Earth's gravitational field. The null results of this search set an upper limit on the coupling energy between the neutron spin and the gravity on the ground at $5.3 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{eV}(95 \%$ confidence level), resulting in a 17 -fold improvement over the previous limit. The results can also be used to constrain several other anomalous interactions. In particular, the limit on the coupling strength of axion-mediated monopole-dipole interactions at the range of Earth's radius is improved by a factor of 17 .
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Among the four fundamental forces, the electromagnetic, the strong, and the weak interactions are all dependent on particle spins according to the standard model of particle physics, only the gravitational interaction is spin independent according to the general theory of relativity. This principle should be tested experimentally with ever increasing precision [1]. At the same time, searches for spingravity coupling also test the fundamental symmetries of the gravitational interaction, since such coupling would break parity $(P)$ and the time-reversal symmetry $(T)[2,3]$. These symmetries are preserved in the electromagnetic and the strong interactions, but are broken in the weak interaction. Questions have been raised on the fundamental symmetry properties of the very weak gravitational interaction [2].

The simplest form of spin-gravity coupling can be express as $[2,4]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{sg}}(\boldsymbol{r})=\chi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi$ is the coupling constant, $\hbar \sigma$ is the particle spin, and $\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{r})$ is the gravitational acceleration at the location $\boldsymbol{r}$. This $P$-odd and $T$-odd coupling introduces a gravitational dipole moment to the particle, so that its center of mass and center of gravity are separated accordingly [5]. Moreover, this coupling leads to a new force on the particle, $\boldsymbol{F}_{a}=-\nabla V_{\mathrm{sg}}(\boldsymbol{r})$, which causes a spin-dependent local gravitational acceleration. In this way, the spin-gravity coupling violates the equivalence principle.

Spin-gravity coupling can also appear due to spin-mass coupling postulated in theories beyond the standard model.

Coupling between the $10^{51}$ nucleons of Earth and spins in the laboratory is a form of monopole-dipole interaction at Earth range [6]. Such an interaction can be mediated by ultralight, axionlike, scalar bosons, which are candidates for cold dark matter in the Universe [7]. For two particles $a$ (monopole coupling, mass) and $b$ (dipole coupling, spin), the monopole-dipole interaction can be written as $[6,8,9]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{md}}(r)=\frac{\hbar}{c} \frac{g_{s}^{a} g_{p}^{b}}{8 \pi m_{b}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{b} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}\left(\frac{1}{r \lambda}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right) e^{-r / \lambda} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{s}$ and $g_{p}$ are the scalar and pseudoscalar coupling strength, respectively. $m_{b}$ is the particle mass with the dipole coupling, $\hat{r}$ is the unit vector connecting the two particles, and $\lambda$ is the reduced Compton wavelength of the interaction propagator.

Searching for spin-gravity coupling has motivated a wealth of experimental efforts. Tests of the universal free fall of atoms with different nuclear spins [10] or internal states [11] were conducted with atom interferometers. Tests of local Lorentz invariance were performed using rotatable torsion balances and polarized massive objects [12,13]. Searches for atomic energy shifts correlated with the flipping of the quantization axis relative to Earth's gravity were also carried out, with ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}^{+}$ions stored in a Penning trap [14], a ${ }^{85} \mathrm{Rb}-{ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ comagnetometer [15,16], or a ${ }^{199} \mathrm{Hg}-{ }^{201} \mathrm{Hg}$ comagnetometer [17]. The most stringent upper limits on the spin-gravity coupling strength of the neutron have been set by Ref. [17].

Meanwhile, searching for monopole-dipole interactions is dominated by the use of atomic magnetometers and comagnetometers [18,19]. The most stringent laboratory limits on $\left|g_{s}^{N} g_{p}^{n}\right| / \hbar c$ ( $N$ and $n$ denote the nucleon and neutron, respectively) over the range of $\lambda>1 \mathrm{~m}$ have been provided by experiments using a ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}-\mathrm{K}$ self-compensation comagnetometer [20] and a ${ }^{199} \mathrm{Hg}-{ }^{201} \mathrm{Hg}$ comagnetometer [17]. In addition, a model-dependent constraint has also been set by analyses of astronomical events [21], which surpasses the laboratory limit when $\lambda<2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~m}$.

In this Letter, we employ a ground-based ${ }^{129} \mathrm{Xe}-{ }^{131} \mathrm{Xe}-\mathrm{Rb}$ atomic comagnetometer to search for the aforementioned exotic spin-dependent interactions between the neutron spin and Earth. This comagnetometer configuration greatly suppresses the influence due to drifts and fluctuations in the bias field [19]. As a quantum compass, this comagnetometer is used to align the direction of the bias field along Earth's rotation axis with a precision of $\pm 0.58^{\circ}(1 \sigma)$, so that the systematic effect in setting the bias field orientation is minimized. By measuring the ratio of nuclear spinprecession frequencies between ${ }^{129} \mathrm{Xe}$ and ${ }^{131} \mathrm{Xe}$ as the bias field is flipped between being parallel and antiparallel to the Earth rotation direction, we determine the Earth rotation rate with an accuracy of $\pm 2.6 \mathrm{nHz}(1 \sigma)$. After subtracting off Earth rotation effect, the remaining results lead to a limit improved by an order of magnitude on both the spindependent gravitational interaction and the monopoledipole coupling for the neutron spin at Earth range.

The experiment is performed in Hefei, China, at the latitude of $31.82^{\circ}$. The comagnetometer cell has an inner dimension of $10 \times 8 \times 8 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$. It is filled with Rb atoms of natural isotopic abundances, 4 Torr of ${ }^{129} \mathrm{Xe}$ (nuclear spin $I=1 / 2)$, 35 Torr of ${ }^{131} \mathrm{Xe}(I=3 / 2)$, 5 Torr of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, and 160 Torr of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The cell is placed at the center of a solenoid system, and four layers of mu-metal shields [Fig. 1(a)].


FIG. 1. (a) Illustration of the experimental setup. The comagnetometer is mounted on a system of a horizontally placed rotation table (No. 1), a tilt table, and a tilted rotation table (No. 2). (b) Coordinate systems of the setup. The $x-y-z$ system is defined by the geographical orientations, and corresponds to table No. 1; similarly, the $x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}-z^{\prime}$ system corresponds to table No. 2. $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{E}$ is contained in the $y-z$ plane by definition, and tilted by $\theta_{L}=$ $31.82^{\circ}$ above the $z$ axis. $\mathbf{B}_{0}$ forms a small angle $\beta$ with the $x^{\prime}-z^{\prime}$ plane, and its projection on $x^{\prime}-z^{\prime}$ forms an angle $\alpha$ with $z^{\prime}$.

A uniform bias field $\mathbf{B}_{0}(\sim 3.5 \mu \mathrm{~T})$ is generated inside and points along the axis of the cylindrical shields. A circularly polarized "pump" laser beam is directed along $\mathbf{B}_{0}$ to generate spin-polarized Rb atoms. A linearly polarized "probe" laser beam is used to measure the Rb polarization component perpendicular to $\mathbf{B}_{0}$. Here, the polarized Rb atoms are used both to hyperpolarize the Xe atoms and to sense the nuclear spin signals of Xe atoms as an in situ magnetometer [22]. The two Xe isotopes are chosen for their long nuclear spin coherence times, and for their nearly equal collisional shifts in the Rb vapor [23]. To suppress the effect due to the nuclear quadrupole moment of ${ }^{131} \mathrm{Xe}$, we amplify and resolve the quadrupole splittings by deliberately employing elongated cell geometry [23]. The entire comagnetometer system is mounted on a set of two rotation tables (No. 1 and No. 2) and a tilt table [Fig. 1(a)].

The comagnetometer operates in a similar way as in Ref. [24], and we extract the nuclear spin precession frequency $\omega$ by fitting the comagnetometer signals [25]. There are three sources that contribute to $\omega$ : first, the Larmor precession, whose frequency $\omega_{L}=\gamma \mathbf{B}_{0}$; second, Earth's rotation [30] at angular velocity $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{E}$; third, the anomalous spin-dependent couplings described in Eqs. (1) and (2). It is customary to convert both Eqs. (1) and (2) into the simpler expression of $V=\epsilon \boldsymbol{I} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}$, with $\epsilon$ as the fraction of the particle spin projected onto the atomic spin vector $\boldsymbol{I}$, and $\boldsymbol{A}$ pointing along the direction of the local gravity. In this way, the new physics can be treated as a torque on Xe spins. The Larmor precession term is dominant so that $\omega$ can be approximately expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\left|\gamma B_{0}+\Omega_{E} \cos \theta+A \epsilon \cos \phi\right| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ is the angle between $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{0}$, and $\phi$ is the angle between $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{0}$. The frequency ratio $R$ of the two Xe isotopes can be expressed as
$R=\frac{\omega_{129}}{\omega_{131}} \approx-\rho-\frac{1-\rho}{\omega_{L, 131}} \Omega_{E} \cos \theta-\frac{\epsilon_{129}-\rho \epsilon_{131}}{\omega_{L, 131}} A \cos \phi$,
where $\omega_{L, 131}$ is the Larmor precession frequency of ${ }^{131} \mathrm{Xe}$ driven by its magnetic dipole moment. The ratio of gyromagnetic ratios $\rho=\gamma_{129} / \gamma_{131}=-3.37337(2)$ is determined in this experiment, and the result is consistent with that reported by Bulatowicz et al. [27]. The Earth rotation frequency $\Omega_{E} / 2 \pi=11605.761 \mathrm{nHz}$ has been precisely determined with a sub-pHz error [31].

We aim to set the angle $\theta$ between the bias field $\left(\mathbf{B}_{0}\right)$ and Earth's rotation direction $\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{E}\right)$ to be close to zero, where $\cos \theta$ is least sensitive to the angle-calibration uncertainty. Here we describe a compass procedure to determine the Earth rotation direction using the comagnetometer.


FIG. 2. (a) Frequency-ratio amplitude $R_{\text {amp }}$ as a function of $\varphi$. For each data point, in order to determine the amplitude, $R$ is measured at 13 positions of $\alpha$, costing a measurement time of 26 h. (b) Frequency ratio $R$ as a function of $\alpha$ with $\varphi=0$ and $\beta+\psi=\theta_{L} . R$ is shown as $\delta R(\alpha)=R(\alpha)-\overline{R(\alpha)}$. Each data point takes 2 h to collect. The red lines in both plots are fitting results. $\varphi_{0}$ and $\alpha_{0}$ are offset angles. The data in both plots are taken with $B_{0}=2.32 \mu \mathrm{~T}$ and $T=110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

As shown in Eq. (5) of the Supplemental Material [25], $\theta$ can be derived with the angles defined in Fig. 1(b). In order to determine $\beta$, we send a circularly polarized calibration laser beam nearly parallel to $\mathbf{B}_{0}$ through the cell, and monitor its resonant absorption by Rb [25]. Modulated absorption spectroscopy is performed to align $\mathbf{B}_{0}$ with the calibration laser beam. We then measure the angle between the laser beam and the $x^{\prime}-z^{\prime}$ plane of table No. 2. In this way, $\beta$ is calibrated to be $-0.14^{\circ} \pm 0.30^{\circ} . \psi$ is then set to $31.96^{\circ} \pm 0.05^{\circ}$ by adjusting the tilt table so that the central values of $\psi+\beta$ are equal to the latitude angle $\theta_{L}$.

The part of the measured frequency ratio $R$ that is dependent on $\alpha$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{\alpha}= & -\frac{(1-\rho) \Omega_{E}}{\omega_{L, 131}}\left\{\sin \theta_{L} \cos \alpha \sin \psi \cos \beta+\cos \theta_{L}\right. \\
& \times[\cos \beta(\cos \alpha \cos \varphi \cos \psi-\sin \alpha \sin \varphi)]\} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

which can also be expressed as $R_{\alpha}=R_{\text {amp }}(\varphi) \sin (\alpha-c)$ for each chosen $\varphi$ with $c$ as a phase offset. Figure 2(a) shows the experimental results of $R_{\mathrm{amp}}$ at different rotation angles of table No. 1. By fitting the results using the relation based on Eq. (5) and repeating the experiment process three times, we determine the position of $\varphi=0^{\circ}$ with an uncertainty of $\pm 0.48^{\circ}(1 \sigma)$.


FIG. 3. (a) All the data collected in the search for anomalous couplings. Each data point represents an average result from 16 experiment cycles taken in over 60 min . The weighted average of all data is $\Omega_{m} / 2 \pi=11605.2 \pm 2.5$ (stat) nHz , with the reduced $\chi^{2}$ as 1.2. (b) Studies of systematic effects by varying the bias field, cell temperature, pump beam powers, etc. The weighted average of all data is given in the window "All." In both (a) and (b), the red lines mark the recommended value of Earth's rotation frequency.

After $\varphi$ is fixed at zero, $R$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=|\rho|-\frac{(1-\rho) \Omega_{E}}{\omega_{L, 131}}\left(\sin ^{2} \beta+\cos ^{2} \beta \cos \alpha\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By fitting the experimental results in Fig. 2(b) using Eq. (6) and repeating the experiment process three times, we determine the position of $\alpha=0^{\circ}$ with an error of $\pm 0.28^{\circ}$ $(1 \sigma)$. Combining all of the measurement uncertainties, we align the bias field $\mathbf{B}_{0}$ to Earth's rotation axis $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{E}$ with the result of $\theta=0^{\circ} \pm 0.58^{\circ}(1 \sigma)$.

Once the alignment is complete, the search for new physics starts by comparing the frequency ratios between $R_{+}$at $\theta_{+}=0^{\circ} \pm 0.58^{\circ}$ and $R_{-}$at $\theta_{-}=180^{\circ}+2 \beta$, and recording their difference $\Delta R=R_{-}-R_{+}$. We define the resolved rotation rate $\Omega_{m}$ of the comagnetometer as $\omega_{L, 131} \Delta R / 2(1-\rho)$ to describe the search results as this quantity is independent of the magnitude of $B_{0}$
$\Omega_{m}=\frac{\left(\cos \theta_{+}-\cos \theta_{-}\right) \Omega_{E}}{2}+\frac{\left(\epsilon_{129}-\rho \epsilon_{131}\right) A \cos \phi}{1-\rho}$.

For each data point of $\Omega_{m}$ in Fig. 3(a), we spend four experimental cycles at $\theta_{+}$, followed by eight cycles at $\theta_{-}$, then again with four cycles at $\theta_{+}$, for a total of 16 cycles over a total of 60 min including the time spent on the rotation of table No. 2. Our comagnetometer achieves a typical rotation sensitivity of $1 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{Hzhr}^{1 / 2}$ on $\Omega_{m} / 2 \pi$.

TABLE I. Error budget of the spin-gravity coupling parameter $A_{\text {sg }} / 2 \pi$.

|  | Correction <br> $(\mathrm{nHz})$ | Uncertainty <br> $(\mathrm{nHz})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bias field alignment | 17.3 | 13.3 |
| Cell temperature correlation | -3.8 | 5.1 |
| Residual magnetic field | -1.3 | 1.4 |
| Pump beam power correlation | $<0.1$ | $<0.1$ |
| Systematics total | 12.2 | 14.3 |
| Statistical result |  | 63.8 |
| Total | 12.2 | 65.4 |

$\Omega_{E}$ is precisely known, but the measured values of $\theta$ and $\beta$ lead to a correction and error of $-0.68 \pm 0.52 \mathrm{nHz}$ on the $\Omega_{E}$ term on the right-hand side of Eq. (7). While this is the dominant systematic effect to determine the new physics, other sources of systematic uncertainties are also investigated [25]. We deliberately vary experimental parameters, including $B_{0}$, the oven temperature, the pump beam power, and the $\pi / 2$ pulse amplitude, and find no effects on the measured $\Omega_{m}$ values.

We plot all the data taken over a span of three months in Fig. 3(a), and selectively plot several systematic studies in Fig. 3(b). The weighted average of all the data is $\Omega_{m} / 2 \pi=11605.0 \pm 2.5$ (stat) +0.2 (sys) nHz , leading to an independent measurement of the Earth rotation rate $\Omega_{E, m} / 2 \pi=11605.7 \pm 2.5($ stat $)+0.6(\mathrm{sys}) \mathrm{nHz}$. Though the precision of $3 \times 10^{-4}$ achieved in this Letter is lower than that of the state-of- the-art very large ring laser gyroscope [32] and atomic interferometer [33], it is one order of magnitude better than the previous best results using comagnetometers [17,34].

As in Ref. [17], we use the Schmidt model [35,36] for nuclear spin analysis, and get $\epsilon_{129}=+1$ and $\epsilon_{131}=-0.2$. Combining these results and Eq. (7), we extract the spingravity coupling parameter $\left|A_{\text {sg }}\right| / 2 \pi=3.1 \pm 65.4 \mathrm{nHz}$, with the error budget of $A_{\mathrm{sg}}$ listed in Table I. The energy difference between a spin-up and a spin-down state of a neutron on the ground, $\hbar\left|A_{\mathrm{sg}}\right|$, is less than $5.3 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{eV}$ at the $95 \%$ confidence level (CL). As shown in Table II, this limit on the spin-gravity coupling of the neutron is

TABLE II. Constraints ( $95 \% \mathrm{CL}$ ) on the energy difference $\hbar\left|A_{\mathrm{sg}}\right|$ due to the spin-gravity coupling and the Eötvös parameter $\eta_{s}$.

| System | Spin | $\hbar\left\|A_{\text {sg }}\right\|(\mathrm{eV})$ | $\left\|\eta_{s}\right\|$ | Reference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{AlNiCo}^{1} \mathrm{SmCo}_{5}$ | Electron | $2.2 \times 10^{-19}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-15}$ | Ref. [13] |
| ${ }^{{ }^{5}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Rb}^{8}{ }^{8} \mathrm{Rb}}$ | Proton | $3.4 \times 10^{-18}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-17}$ | Ref. [15] |
| ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}^{+}$ | Neutron | $1.7 \times 10^{-19}$ | $5.4 \times 10^{-19}$ | Ref. [14] |
| ${ }^{199} \mathrm{Hg}^{-201} \mathrm{Hg}$ | Neutron | $9.1 \times 10^{-21}$ | $2.9 \times 10^{-20}$ | Ref. [17] |
| ${ }^{129} \mathrm{Xe}^{-131} \mathrm{Xe}$ | Neutron | $5.3 \times 10^{-22}$ | $1.7 \times 10^{-21}$ | This Letter |

improved over the previous best limit by a factor of 17 [17,37]. This result also leads to an upper limit of 2.7 fm ( $95 \% \mathrm{CL}$ ) on the separation $\left(\hbar\left|A_{\text {sg }}\right| / 2 m g\right)$ between the center of mass and the center of gravity of the neutron.

The spin-gravity coupling also leads to a spin-dependent term in the gravitational acceleration. For the two spin states of the neutron, the difference in the acceleration on the ground is $\left|\delta g_{s}\left(r_{E}\right)\right|=2 \hbar\left|A_{\mathrm{sg}}\right| / m_{n} r_{E}$, with $m_{n}$ as the neutron mass and $r_{E}$ as the Earth radius. The Eötvös parameter of the neutron is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\eta_{s, n}\right|=\frac{\left|\delta g_{s, n}\left(r_{E}\right)\right|}{g\left(r_{E}\right)}=\frac{2 \hbar\left|A_{\mathrm{sg}, n}\left(r_{E}\right)\right|}{m_{n} g\left(r_{E}\right) r_{E}} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The results of this Letter provide an upper limit $\left|\eta_{s, n}\right| \leq$ $1.7 \times 10^{-21}(95 \% \mathrm{CL})$. In comparison, free-fall experiments with atom interferometers have placed limits of $\left|\eta_{s}\right|$ only at the $10^{-7}$ level $[10,11]$, although there the accelerations were measured more directly.

For the monopole-dipole interaction in Eq. (2), the constraint set by this Letter on the coupling constants $\left|g_{s}^{N} g_{p}^{n}\right| / \hbar c$ surpasses the results extracted from the astronomical events at $\lambda>1 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}$ (propagator mass $m_{p}<2.0 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{eV}$ ) (Fig. 4), and reaches $3.7 \times 10^{-36}$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CL}$ ) when $\lambda>1 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m}\left(m_{p}<2.0 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{eV}\right)$, which is a 17 -fold improvement over the previous best limit [17].

The results of this experiment can also be used to study other related theoretical models of anomalous interactions [18,40]. One class of these models treats Earth as a source of polarized electrons [41,42]. These electrons exist in ironcontaining minerals, and align along Earth's magnetic field lines [41]. Therefore, the results of this Letter can be used to


FIG. 4. The upper limits ( $95 \% \mathrm{CL}$ ) on the monopole-dipole coupling constants $\left|g_{s}^{N} g_{p}^{n}\right| / \hbar c$. Line 1 is based on a ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}-{ }^{129} \mathrm{Xe}$ comagnetometer [38]; line 2 uses a self-compensating ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}-\mathrm{K}$ comagnetometer [20]; line 3 is from the analysis of astronomical observation [21,39]; line 4 is from the spectroscopy of trapped $\mathrm{Be}^{+}$ions [14]; line 5 is from a ${ }^{199} \mathrm{Hg}^{201} \mathrm{Hg}$ comagnetometer [17]; and line 6 is from this Letter.
search for a possible coupling between the electron spin and the neutron spin mediated by spin-1 vector bosons [43]. In addition to the improved measurements realized in this Letter, the spatial distribution of the polarized geoelectrons under Hefei needs to be modeled before a new constraint on this coupling can be reached [44].

The search sensitivity can be further improved by the following: installing a multipass cavity [45] for the probe laser beam to improve the signal-to-noise ratio; implementing an integrated hardware design for better mechanical stability; reducing the uncertainty in the orientation of the bias field with the help of external references such as a fiber laser gyroscope; and accumulating more data with a longer measurement time.
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